

NEW ESTIMATES FOR A TIME-DEPENDENT SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

MARIUS BECEANU

ABSTRACT. This paper establishes new estimates for a time-dependent linear Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Some of the results are new even in the time-independent case and all are shown to hold for potentials in scaling-critical, translation-invariant spaces.

The main result is the following: let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta - \mu & 0 \\ 0 & -\Delta + \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} W_1 & W_2 \\ -\overline{W}_2 & -W_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ y(t) &= \int_0^t v(s) ds, \quad \theta(t) = \int_0^t A(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (0.1)$$

and consider a solution of the equation

$$i\partial_t R(t) + (\mathcal{H}_0 + V(x, t))R(t) = F(t), \quad R(0) \text{ given}, \quad (0.2)$$

where

$$V(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} W_1(x - y(t)) & e^{i\theta(t)} W_2(x - y(t)) \\ -e^{-i\theta(t)} \overline{W}_2(x - y(t)) & -W_1(x - y(t)) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (0.3)$$

Assume that $V \in L_0^{3/2, \infty}$ (the weak- $L^{3/2}$ closure of the set of bounded compactly supported functions) and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + V$ has no embedded exceptional values in $(-\infty, -\mu] \cup [\mu, \infty)$. Also assume that $\|v\|_{L_t^\infty}$ and $\|A\|_{L_t^\infty}$ are sufficiently small, in a manner that depends on V . Then, for $P_c(t) = U(t)^{-1} P_c U(t)$,

$$\|P_c(t)R(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 L_x^{6,2}} \leq C \left(\|R(0)\|_2 + \|F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2 + L_t^2 L_x^{6/5,2}} \right). \quad (0.4)$$

Further assume that $V \in L^{3/2,1}$. Then

$$\|P_c(t)R(t)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^{6,\infty}} \leq C \left(\|R(0)\|_{6/5,1} + \|F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^{6/5,1}} \right). \quad (0.5)$$

Here $L^{p,q}$ are Lorentz spaces. Both estimates are scaling- and translation-invariant.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Overview. Consider the linear Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$i\partial_t Z + \mathcal{H}Z = F, \quad Z(0) \text{ given}, \quad (1.1)$$

Date: September 2009.

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, 5734 S. University Ave., Chicago, IL 60637 (mbeceanu@uchicago.edu). This work is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis at the University of Chicago.

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + V = -\Delta + V, \quad (1.2)$$

where V may be real or complex-valued, in the scalar case and

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + V = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta - \mu & 0 \\ 0 & -\Delta + \mu \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} W_1 & W_2 \\ -W_2 & -W_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu > 0 \quad (1.3)$$

in the matrix nonselfadjoint case. W_1 is always taken to be real-valued, while W_2 may be real or complex-valued. In the sequel we treat all cases using a unified approach.

Let $R_0(\lambda) = (\mathcal{H}_0 - \lambda)^{-1}$ be the resolvent of the unperturbed Hamiltonian,

$$R_0(\lambda^2)(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}}{|x-y|} \quad (1.4)$$

in the scalar case (1.2) and

$$R_0(\lambda^2 + \mu)(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 2\mu}|x-y|}}{|x-y|} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}}{|x-y|} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.5)$$

in the matrix case (1.3). For a multiplicative decomposition $V = V_1 V_2$ of V , such that $V_2 R_0(\lambda) V_1$ is a bounded L^2 operator, the exceptional set $\mathcal{E}$ is defined as the set of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the inverse of $I + V_2 R_0(\lambda) V_1$ is not a bounded L^2 operator.

Throughout this paper we make the simplifying assumption that no exceptional values of $\mathcal{H}$ are contained in the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}_0$. In three spatial dimensions, this assumption holds generically. The opposite situation, which also presents significant interest, will form the subject of a separate paper.

In proving our estimates for the solution Z of (1.1), we use an abstract version of Wiener's Theorem, which also presents independent interest.

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be a Hilbert space and $K = \mathcal{L}(H, M_t H)$ be the algebra of bounded operators from H to $M_t H$, where $M_t H$ is the space of H -valued Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}$ of finite mass, see (2.1).*

If $A \in K$ is invertible then $\hat{A}(\lambda)$ is invertible for every λ . Conversely, assume $\hat{A}(\lambda)$ is invertible for each λ , $A = I + L$, and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|L(\cdot + \epsilon) - L\|_K = 0, \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \|(1 - \chi(t/R))L(t)\|_K = 0 \quad (1.6)$$

Then A is invertible in K .

Let $L_0^{3/2, \infty}$ be the weak- $L^{3/2}$ closure of the set of bounded compactly supported functions. The following obtains in the time-independent setting:

Theorem 1.2. *Let Z be a solution of the linear Schrödinger equation (1.1)*

$$i\partial_t Z + \mathcal{H}Z = F, \quad Z(0) \text{ given.}$$

Assume that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + V$, V is as in (1.2) or (1.3), and that no exceptional values of $\mathcal{H}$ are contained in $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$. Then Strichartz estimates hold: if

$V \in L_0^{3/2,\infty}$ then

$$\|P_c Z\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 L_x^{6,2}} \leq C \left(\|Z(0)\|_2 + \|F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2 + L_t^2 L_x^{6/5,2}} \right) \quad (1.7)$$

and if $V \in L^{3/2,1}$ then

$$\|P_c Z\|_{L_t^1 L_x^{6,\infty}} \leq C \left(\|Z(0)\|_{L^{6/5,1}} + \|F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^{6/5,1}} \right). \quad (1.8)$$

Here P_c is the projection on the continuous spectrum of $\mathcal{H}$.

Remark 1.3. *Interpolation between (1.7) and (1.8) produces*

$$\|P_c Z\|_{L_t^p L_x^{6,p}} \leq C \left(\|Z(0)\|_{L^{q,p}} + \|F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^{q,p} + L_t^p L_x^{6/5,p}} \right), \quad (1.9)$$

where $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $3/(2q) = 1/p + 1/4$.

Other estimates, such as $t^{-3/2}$ decay estimates, smoothing estimates, or wave operator estimates will constitute the subject of separate papers.

The Hamiltonian (1.3) is nonselfadjoint, leading to specific problems that are absent in the selfadjoint case.

In the scalar selfadjoint setting, Keel–Tao [KeTa] proved endpoint Strichartz estimates for the free Schrödinger and wave equations and introduced a general method for obtaining endpoint estimates (based on real interpolation) that has been useful in all similar contexts.

The issue of selfadjointness matters because one needs to reprove the usual dispersive estimates concerning the Schrödinger equation. They do not follow in the same manner as in the selfadjoint case, where, for example, the unitarity of the time evolution immediately implies the L^2 boundedness.

In [Sch], Schlag proved $L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty$ dispersive estimates for the Schrödinger equation with a nonselfadjoint Hamiltonian, as well as non-endpoint Strichartz estimates. Erdoğan and Schlag [ErSc] proved L^2 bounds for the evolution as well. In [Bec], endpoint Strichartz estimates in the nonselfadjoint case were obtained following the method of Keel–Tao. Finally, Cuccagna and Mizumachi [CuMi] obtained the boundedness of the wave operators, from which all of the above can be inferred as a simple consequence.

Goldberg [Gol] proved Strichartz estimates for $L^{3/2}$ potentials. [BPST] obtained decay results for critical potentials under stronger regularity assumptions. An early result of this type also belongs to Rodnianski–Schlag [RoSc], who proved nonendpoint Strichartz estimates for large potentials with $\langle x \rangle^{-2-\epsilon}$ decay.

For a survey of past results, we refer the reader to [Gol] and [BPST].

1.2. Sketch of the proof. We use Wiener’s Theorem in the form of Theorem 1.1, as follows. Consider a decomposition of the potential V into

$$V = V_1 V_2, \quad V_1 = |V|^{1/2} \operatorname{sgn} V, \quad V_2 = |V|^{1/2}. \quad (1.10)$$

In the matrix nonselfadjoint case (1.3), an analogous decomposition is

$$V = V_1 V_2, \quad V_1 = \sigma_3 \begin{pmatrix} W_1 & W_2 \\ \overline{W}_2 & W_1 \end{pmatrix}^{1/2}, \quad V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} W_1 & W_2 \\ \overline{W}_2 & W_1 \end{pmatrix}^{1/2}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.11)$$

By Duhamel's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(t) &= e^{it\mathcal{H}} Z(0) - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}} F(s) ds \\ &= e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} Z(0) - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} F(s) ds + i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} V Z(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

In addition, for any multiplicative decomposition $V = V_1 V_2$ of the potential,

$$V_2 Z(t) = V_2 \left(e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} Z(0) - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} F(s) ds \right) + i \int_0^t (V_2 e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} V_1) V_2 Z(s) ds. \quad (1.13)$$

Consider the kernel defined by

$$(T_{V_2, V_1} F)(t) = \int_0^t (V_2 e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} V_1) F(s) ds \quad (1.14)$$

and its Fourier transform in regard to time

$$\widehat{T}_{V_2, V_1} = i V_2 R_0(\lambda) V_1. \quad (1.15)$$

To apply Theorem 1.1, we need to establish that the kernel of T_{V_2, V_1} is time integrable. This is indeed the case, in the following scale-invariant setting.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{H}_0$ be as in (1.2) or (1.3). Then*

$$\int_0^\infty \|e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} f\|_{L^{6,\infty}} dt \leq C \|f\|_{L^{6/5,1}}. \quad (1.16)$$

Thus, the free time evolution $e^{it\mathcal{H}_0}$, when considered between the proper spaces, is indeed an operator-valued measure of finite mass. The proof produces a stronger result, namely

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^n \sup_{t \in [2^n, 2^{n+1})} \|e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} f\|_{L^{6,\infty}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{6/5,1}}. \quad (1.17)$$

Then, for the $H = L^2$ and $V_1, V_2 \in L^{3,2}$, it follows that $T_{V_2, V_1} \in K = \mathcal{L}(H, M_t H)$. Invertibility of $I - iT_{V_2, V_1}$ within K is directly related to Strichartz estimates. Indeed, at least formally we can write

$$V_2 Z(t) = (I - iT_{V_2, V_1})^{-1} V_2 \left(e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} Z(0) - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} F(s) ds \right). \quad (1.18)$$

If the operator $I - iT_{V_2, V_1}$ can be inverted, then the computation is justified.

1.3. The time-dependent problem. Consider the family of isometries, determined by the parameters $A(t)$ and $v(t) = (v_1(t), v_2(t), v_3(t))$,

$$U(t) = e^{\int_0^t (v(s)\nabla + iA(s)\sigma_3) ds}. \quad (1.19)$$

The rate of change of $U(t)$ is controlled by the norm

$$\|A(t)\|_{L_t^\infty} + \|v(t)\|_{L_t^\infty}. \quad (1.20)$$

We consider the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, with $\mathcal{H}_0$ as in (1.3),

$$i\partial_t R(t) + (\mathcal{H}_0 + U(t)^{-1}VU(t))R(t) = F(t), \quad R(0) \text{ given.} \quad (1.21)$$

The Hamiltonian at time t is $U(t)^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_0 + V)U(t)$, since $\mathcal{H}_0$ and U commute, i.e. it is $\mathcal{H}$ conjugated by $U(t)$.

Let $Z(t) = U(t)R(t)$. We rewrite the equation in the new variable Z :

$$i\partial_t Z(t) - i\partial_t U(t)U(t)^{-1}Z(t) + \mathcal{H}_0 Z(t) + VZ(t) = U(t)F(t), \quad Z(0) = R(0). \quad (1.22)$$

In case U is given by (1.19), the equation becomes

$$i\partial_t Z - iv(t)\nabla Z + A(t)\sigma_3 Z + \mathcal{H}Z = F, \quad Z(0) \text{ given.} \quad (1.23)$$

In our study of the time-dependent equations (1.21) and (1.22), we employ the following algebra $\tilde{K}$, whose definition parallels Definition 2.1.

Definition 1.1. $\tilde{K} = \{T(t, s) \mid \sup_s \|T(t, s)f\|_{M_t L_x^2} \leq C\|f\|_2\}$.

Here $M_t L_x^2$ is the set of L^2 -valued measures of finite mass on the Borel algebra of $\mathbb{R}$. $\tilde{K}$ contains operators that are not invariant under translation, is naturally endowed with a unit, but is not a C^* algebra.

The main result in the time-dependent setting is the following:

Theorem 1.5. *Consider equation (1.21), for $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + V$ as in (1.2) or (1.3) and V in $L_0^{3/2, \infty}$, not necessarily real-valued:*

$$i\partial_t R(t) + (\mathcal{H}_0 + U(t)^{-1}VU(t))R(t) = F(t), \quad R(0) \text{ given.}$$

Assume that $U(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a family of maps with the following properties:

- P1 $U(t)$ and $U(t)^{-1}$ are uniformly L^p -bounded maps, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.
- P2 For every $t, \tau \geq 0$, $U(t)$ and $U(\tau)$ commute with $\mathcal{H}_0$ and each other.
- P3 For some N , there exists $\epsilon(N) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle x \rangle^{-N} (U(t)U(\tau)^{-1}e^{i(t-\tau)\mathcal{H}_0} - e^{i(t-\tau)\mathcal{H}_0})\langle x \rangle^{-N}\|_{\tilde{K}} &\leq \\ &\leq C(\|A(t)\|_{L_t^\infty} + \|v(t)\|_{L_t^\infty})^{\epsilon(N)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.24)$$

- P4 Let P_c be the continuous spectrum projection of $\mathcal{H}$ and $P_c(t) = U(t)^{-1}P_c U(t)$. Then

$$\|P_c(t) - P_c(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{6,2}, L^{6/5,2})} \leq C|t - s|(\|A(t)\|_{L_t^\infty} + \|v(t)\|_{L_t^\infty}). \quad (1.25)$$

Assume that $\|A\|_\infty$ and $\|v\|_\infty$ are sufficiently small (in a manner that depends on V) and there are no exceptional values of $\mathcal{H}$ embedded in $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$. Then, for a suitable $P_c(t)$,

$$\|P_c(t)R(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 L_x^{6,2}} \leq C \left(\|R(0)\|_2 + \|F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2 + L_t^2 L_x^{6/5,2}} \right). \quad (1.26)$$

Further assume that $V \in L^{3/2,1}$. Then

$$\|P_c(t)R(t)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^{6,\infty}} \leq C \left(\|R(0)\|_{6/5,1} + \|F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^{6/5,1}} \right). \quad (1.27)$$

The equation and estimates can equivalently be written in terms of Z .

In Lemma 2.11, we show that the family U given by (1.19) possesses properties P1–P4, so Theorem 1.5 applies.

One can find numerous examples of families satisfying the last three properties, but not the first one, such as $\tilde{U}(t) = e^{i(\int_0^t \beta(\tau) d\tau) |\nabla|^s}$, $0 \leq s \leq 2$. In that case we obtain the same estimates for the solution Z of (1.22), but they no longer transfer to (1.21).

A previous result in the time-dependent setting belongs to [RoSc]:

Theorem 1.6. *Consider the time-dependent Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R}^3$*

$$i\partial_t Z - \Delta Z + V(t, x)Z = 0, \quad Z(0) \text{ given}, \quad (1.28)$$

with

$$\|V\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{3/2}} + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{V}(\tau, y)|}{|x - y|} d\tau dy < c_0. \quad (1.29)$$

Here c_0 is a small constant and $\widehat{V}(\tau, x)$ is the Fourier transform of V with respect to time only. Then $Z \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 L_x^6$ for $Z(0) \in L^2$ and

$$\|Z(t)\|_\infty \leq C|t|^{-3/2} \|Z(0)\|_1. \quad (1.30)$$

The current paper's result, Theorem 1.5 is narrower, allowing for a more limited sort of variation with time. On the other hand, the current result has applications in the study of the semilinear Schrödinger equation, because the size of the variation in regard to time allowed under Theorem 1.5 is much larger. We treat the time-dependent case as a small perturbation of the time-independent one, but the perturbation is small in a weaker sense than in previous estimates such as in [RoSc].

2. PROOF OF THE RESULTS

2.1. Wiener's Theorem. Let H be a Hilbert space, $\mathcal{L}(H, H)$ be the space of bounded linear operators from H to itself, and $M_t H$ be the set of H -valued measures of finite mass on the Borel algebra of $\mathbb{R}$. $M_t H$ is a Banach space, with the norm

$$\|\mu\|_{M_t H} = \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \|\mu(A_k)\|_H \mid A_k \text{ disjoint Borel sets} \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Note that the absolute value of $\mu \in M_t H$ given by

$$|\mu|(A) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \|\mu(A_k)\|_H \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k = A, A_k \text{ disjoint Borel sets} \right\} \quad (2.2)$$

is a positive measure of finite mass (bounded variation) and $\|\mu(A)\|_H \leq |\mu|(A)$. By the Radon–Nikodym Theorem, μ is in $M_t H$ if and only if it has a decomposition

$$\mu = \mu_\infty |\mu| \quad (2.3)$$

with $|\mu| \in M$ (the space of real-valued measures of finite mass) and $\mu_\infty \in L_{d|\mu|(t)}^\infty H$.

Furthermore, $\|\mu\|_{M_t H} = \|\mu\|_M$ and the same holds if we replace H by any Banach space.

Definition 2.1. Let $K = \mathcal{L}(H, M_t H)$ be the algebra of bounded operators from H to $M_t H$.

It has the following natural properties:

Lemma 2.1. K takes $M_t H$ into itself by convolution, is a Banach algebra under convolution, and multiplication by bounded continuous functions (and L^∞ Borel measurable functions) is bounded on K :

$$\|fk\|_K \leq \|f\|_\infty \|k\|_K. \quad (2.4)$$

Furthermore, by integrating an element k of K over $\mathbb{R}$ one obtains $\int_{\mathbb{R}} k \in \mathcal{L}(H, H)$, with $\|\int_{\mathbb{R}} k\|_{\mathcal{L}(H, H)} \leq \|k\|_K$.

Proof. Boundedness of multiplication by continuous or L^∞ functions follows from the decomposition $\mu = \mu_0 |\mu|$ for $\mu \in M_t H$. The last stated property is a trivial consequence of the definition of $M_t H$.

Let $\mu \in M_t H$, $k \in K$. Consider the product measure $\tilde{\mu}$ first defined on product sets $A \times B \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ by $\tilde{\mu}(A \times B) = k(\mu(B))(A)$. This is again a measure of finite mass, $\tilde{\mu} \in M_{t,s} H$, and

$$\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{M_{t,s} H} \leq \|k\|_K \|\mu\|_{M_t H}. \quad (2.5)$$

We then naturally define the convolution of an element of K with an element of $M_t H$, by setting $k(\mu)(A) = \tilde{\mu}(\{(t, s) \mid t + s \in A\})$.

Thus, each $k \in K$ defines a bounded translation-invariant linear map from $M_t H$ to itself:

$$\|k(\mu)\|_{M_t H} \leq \|k\|_K \|\mu\|_{M_t H}. \quad (2.6)$$

The correspondence is bijective, as any translation-invariant $\tilde{k} \in \mathcal{L}(M_t H, M_t H)$ defines an element $k \in K$ by $k(h) = \tilde{k}(\delta_{t=0} h)$. These operations are indeed inverses of one another.

Associativity follows from Fubini's Theorem. K is a Banach space by definition. The algebra property of the norm is immediate from (2.6). $\square$

Note that, due to our choice of a Hilbert space H , if $k \in K$ then $k^* \in K$ as well.

Define the Fourier transform of any element in K by

$$\widehat{k}(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\lambda} dk(t). \quad (2.7)$$

This is a bounded operator from H to itself. By dominated convergence, $\widehat{k}(\lambda)$ is a strongly continuous (in λ) family of operators for each k and, for each λ ,

$$\|\widehat{k}(\lambda)\|_{H \rightarrow H} \leq \|k\|_K. \quad (2.8)$$

This follows from (2.4).

The Fourier transform of the identity is $\widehat{I}(\lambda) = I$ for every λ ; $\widehat{k}^* = (\widehat{k})^*$. Also, the Fourier transform takes convolution to composition.

Trivially, if a kernel $k \in K$ has both a left and a right inverse, they must be the same, $b = b * I = b * (k * B) = (b * k) * B = I * B = B$.

As usual, fix a continuous cutoff χ supported on a compact set and which equals one on some neighborhood of zero. We also specify that the inverse Fourier on $\mathbb{R}$ is

$$f^\vee(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (2.9)$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let K be the operator algebra of Definition 2.1. If $A \in K$ is invertible then $\widehat{A}(\lambda)$ is invertible for every λ . Conversely, assume $\widehat{A}(\lambda)$ is invertible for each λ , $A = I + L$, and*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|L(\cdot + \epsilon) - L\|_K = 0, \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \|(1 - \chi(t/R))L(t)\|_K = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

Then A is invertible. Furthermore, if L is in any of the aforementioned unital subalgebras of K (K_{1u} , $B_{p,au}$, K_{su} , etc.), then its inverse will also belong to the same.

Further note that the set of equicontinuous operators, that is

$$\{L \mid \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|L(\cdot + \epsilon) - L\|_K = 0\} \quad (2.11)$$

is a closed ideal, is translation invariant, contains the set of those kernels which are strongly measurable and L^1 (but can be strictly larger), and I is not in it. We could, though, form a Banach algebra E consisting of just multiples of I and this ideal.

Likewise, the set of kernels L that decay at infinity, that is

$$D = \{L \mid \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_{|t|>R} L(t)\|_K = 0\}, \quad (2.12)$$

is a closed subalgebra. It contains the strong algebras that we defined above. Note that for operators $A \in D$ the Fourier transform is also a norm-continuous family of operators, not only strongly continuous.

As a final observation, the construction will ensure that, if L belongs to the intersection $E \cap D$ and is invertible, then its inverse is also in it.

Proof. Firstly, if A is invertible, that is $A * A^{-1} = A^{-1} * A = I$, then applying the Fourier transform yields

$$\widehat{A}(\lambda) \widehat{A^{-1}}(\lambda) = \widehat{A^{-1}}(\lambda) \widehat{A}(\lambda) = I \quad (2.13)$$

for each λ , so $\widehat{A}(\lambda)$ is invertible.

Conversely, assume $\widehat{A}(\lambda)$ is invertible for every λ . Without loss of generality, we can take $\widehat{A}$ to be self-adjoint and non-negative for every λ , by replacing A with $A * A^*$. Then at each λ $\widehat{A}(\lambda)$ is invertible and bounded if and only if

$$\inf_{\|f\|_H=1} \langle f, \widehat{A}(\lambda) f \rangle > 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Fix $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. With the help of a smooth cutoff function χ of compact support, equal to one on some neighborhood of zero, define

$$\widehat{A}_\epsilon(\lambda) = \left(1 - \chi\left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\epsilon}\right)\right) I + \chi\left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\epsilon}\right) \widehat{A}(\lambda) / \|A\|_K. \quad (2.15)$$

We next prove that A_ϵ is invertible. Without loss of generality we can take λ_0 to be zero.

For any kernel $B \in K$ that decays at infinity ($B \in D$, that is, in our previous notation) and for $\chi_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \chi^\vee(\epsilon \cdot)$,

$$\left\| (\chi_\epsilon * B)(t) - \chi_\epsilon(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} B(s) ds \right\|_K \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.16)$$

This follows, as usual, by fixing some large radius R and integrating separately within and outside that radius:

$$\|\chi_{|s|>R} B(s)\|_K \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\left\| \int_{|s| \leq R} (\chi_\epsilon(t) - \chi_\epsilon(t-s)) B(s) ds \right\|_K \leq \|B\|_K \cdot \left\| \sup_{|s| \leq R} (\chi_\epsilon(t) - \chi_\epsilon(t-s)) \right\|_{L_t^1} \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Thus $\chi_\epsilon * B$ gets close to the operator

$$\chi_\epsilon(t) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} B(s) ds \right) \quad (2.19)$$

whose norm equals $\|\chi_\epsilon\|_1 \|\widehat{B}(0)\|_{H \rightarrow H}$.

If $\|\widehat{B}(0)\| < 1/C$, where $C = \|\chi_\epsilon\|_{L_t^1}$ is a constant independent of scaling, then $1 - \chi_\epsilon * B$ is invertible for small enough ϵ . If we only assume that $\|\widehat{B}(0)\| < 1$, then we replace B by B^n for some large n in the above and get that $1 - \chi_\epsilon * B^n$ is invertible for small ϵ . This implies that

$$I - ((\chi_\epsilon^\wedge)^{1/n})^\vee * B \quad (2.20)$$

is invertible.

We choose the cutoff function χ in the above so that not only does it have compact support, but it is smooth as well. Then, for $\chi_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \chi^\vee(\epsilon \cdot)$ and for large finite n , $(\chi_\epsilon^\wedge)^{1/n}$ is a smooth compactly supported function and its

Fourier transform is integrable. This makes the inverse of (2.20) belong to the algebra K , as required.

In particular, this applies to $B = I - A_\epsilon$. Indeed, since $\widehat{A}(\lambda)$ is positive and invertible, it follows that

$$(I - A_\epsilon)^\wedge(0) = I - \widehat{A}(0)/\|A\|_K \quad (2.21)$$

is nonnegative and strictly less than one. Thus there exists an operator (namely A_ϵ) whose Fourier transform equals that of A on some neighborhood of λ_0 and which is invertible.

We have to consider infinity separately. Let

$$\widehat{A}_R(\lambda) = (1 - \chi(\lambda/R))\widehat{A}(\lambda) + \chi(\lambda/R)I. \quad (2.22)$$

The difference between A_R and I is given by

$$(I - \chi_R) * (I - A), \quad (2.23)$$

where $\chi_R = \frac{1}{R}\chi^\vee(R\cdot)$. At this step we use the equicontinuity assumption of the hypothesis, namely

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|(I - A) - (I - A)(\cdot + \epsilon)\|_K = 0. \quad (2.24)$$

Since χ_R is a good kernel, we separate it into two parts, away from zero and close to zero, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_{[-\epsilon, \epsilon]}\chi_R * (I - A) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{[-\epsilon, \epsilon]}\chi_R\right)(I - A)\|_K &= o_\epsilon(1), \\ \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \|(1 - \chi_{[-\epsilon, \epsilon]})\chi_R\|_1 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - \chi_R) * (I - A)\|_K = 0. \quad (2.26)$$

Thus we can invert A_R for large R . It follows that on some neighborhood of infinity the Fourier transform of A equals that of an invertible operator.

Finally, using a finite partition of unity subordinated to those neighborhoods we have found above, we explicitly construct the inverse of A . Indeed, consider a finite open cover of $\mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$\mathbb{R} = D_\infty \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^n D_j, \quad (2.27)$$

where D_j are open sets and D_∞ is an open neighborhood of infinity. Also assume that for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and for $j = \infty$ we have $\widehat{A}^{-1} = \widehat{A}_j^{-1}$ on the open set D_j . Take a smooth partition of unity subordinated to this cover, that is

$$1 = \sum_j \chi_j, \quad \text{supp } \chi_j \subset D_j. \quad (2.28)$$

Then the inverse of A is given by

$$A^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{\chi}_j * A_j^{-1} + (I - \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{\chi}_j) * A_\infty^{-1}. \quad (2.29)$$

Given our use of smooth cutoff functions, this construction also preserves the subalgebras we defined. $\square$

We are also interested in whether, if A is upper triangular (meaning that A is supported on $\{(t, s) \mid t \geq s\}$), the inverse of A is also upper triangular.

Lemma 2.3. *Given $A \in K$ upper triangular with $A^{-1} \in K$, A^{-1} is upper triangular if and only if $\widehat{A}$ can be extended to a weakly analytic family of invertible operators in the lower half-plane, which is continuous up to the boundary, uniformly bounded, and with uniformly bounded inverse.*

Proof. Given that A^{-1} and A are upper triangular, one can construct $\widehat{A}(\lambda)$ and $\widehat{A}^{-1}(\lambda)$ in the lower half-plane, as the integral converges there. Strong continuity follows by dominated convergence and weak analyticity by means of the Cauchy integral formula. Furthermore, both $\widehat{A}(\lambda)$ and $\widehat{A}^{-1}(\lambda)$ are bounded by the respective norms and they are inverses of one another.

Conversely, consider $A_- = \chi_{(-\infty, 0]} A^{-1}$. On the lower half-plane, $\widehat{A}^{-1} = (\widehat{A})^{-1}$ is uniformly bounded by assumption. Likewise, $\widehat{A}_+$ is bounded as the Fourier transform of an upper triangular operator. Since $A_- = A - A_+$, it too is bounded on the lower half-plane.

However, A_- is lower triangular, so its Fourier transform is also bounded in the upper half-plane. By Liouville's theorem, then, $\widehat{A}_-$ must be constant, so A_- can only have singular support at zero. Therefore A is upper triangular. $\square$

In none of the above did we use compactness or the Fredholm alternative explicitly. (Still, it is interesting to note that a subset of $L_t^1 H$ is precompact if and only if its elements are uniformly bounded, equicontinuous, and decay uniformly at infinity — conditions that we actually employed).

We next apply this abstract theory to the particular case of interest.

2.2. The free evolution and resolvent in three dimensions. We return to the concrete case (1.2) or (1.3) of a linear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R}^3$ with scalar or matrix nonselfadjoint potential V . For simplicity, the entire subsequent discussion revolves around the case of three spatial dimensions.

In order to apply the abstract Wiener theorem, Theorem 2.2, it is necessary to exhibit an operator-valued measure of finite mass. Accordingly, we start by proving Proposition 1.4.

Proof of Proposition 1.4. We provide two proofs — a shorter one based on real interpolation and a longer one, using the atomic decomposition of Lorentz spaces (Lemma A.2), that exposes the proof machinery underneath.

Following the first approach, note that by duality (1.17) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^n \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\langle e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} f, g(t) \rangle| dt \leq C \|f\|_{L^{6/5,1}} \|g\|_{L_t^1 L_x^{6/5,1}} \quad (2.30)$$

holding for any $f \in L^{6/5,1}$ and $g \in L_t^1 L_x^{6/5,1}$.

From the usual dispersive estimate

$$\|e^{-it\Delta} f\|_{p'} \leq t^{3/2(1-2/p)} \|f\|_p \quad (2.31)$$

we obtain that

$$\int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\langle e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} f, g(t) \rangle| dt \leq 2^{n(3/2-3/p)} \|f\|_p \|g\|_{L_t^1 L_x^p}. \quad (2.32)$$

Restated, this means that the bilinear mapping

$$\begin{aligned} T : L^p \times L_t^1 L_x^p &\rightarrow \ell_{3/p-3/2}^\infty \text{ (following the notation of Proposition B.3),} \\ T = (T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad T_n(f, g) &= \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} \langle e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} f, g(t) \rangle dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

is bounded for $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

Interpolating between $p = 1$ and $p = 2$, by using the real interpolation method (Theorem B.2) with $\theta = 1/3$ and $q_1 = q_2 = 1$, directly shows that

$$T : (L^1, L^2)_{1/3,1} \times (L_t^1 L_x^1, L_t^1 L_x^2)_{1/3,1} \rightarrow (\ell_{3/2}^\infty, \ell_0^\infty)_{1/3,1} \quad (2.34)$$

is bounded. By Proposition B.3,

$$\begin{aligned} (L^1, L^2)_{1/3,1} &= L^{6/5,1}, \\ (L_t^1 L_x^1, L_t^1 L_x^2)_{1/3,1} &= L_t^1 (L_x^1, L_x^2)_{1/3,1} = L_t^1 L_x^{6/5,1}, \\ (\ell_{3/2}^\infty, \ell_0^\infty)_{1/3,1} &= \ell_1^1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

Hence T is bounded from $L^{6/5,1} \times L_t^1 L_x^{6/5,1}$ to ℓ_1^1 , which implies (1.17).

The alternative approach is based on the atomic decomposition of $L^{6/5,1}$. By Lemma A.2,

$$f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_j a_j, \quad g(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_k(t) b_k(t), \quad (2.36)$$

where a_j and, for each t , $b_k(t)$ are atoms with

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\text{supp}(a_j)) &= 2^j, \quad \text{ess sup } |a_j| = 2^{-5j/6}, \\ \mu(\text{supp}(b_k(t))) &= 2^k, \quad \text{ess sup } |b_k(t)| = 2^{-5k/6} \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

(here μ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^3$), and the coefficients α_j and $\beta_k(t)$ satisfy

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\alpha_j| \leq C \|f\|_{L^{6/5,1}}, \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\beta_k(t)| \leq C \|g(t)\|_{L^{6/5,1}}. \quad (2.38)$$

Integrating in time and exchanging summation and integration lead to

$$\sum_k \int_0^\infty |\beta_k(t)| dt \leq C \|g\|_{L_t^1 L_x^{6/5,1}}. \quad (2.39)$$

Since (2.30) is bilinear in f and g , it suffices to prove it for only one pair of atoms. Fix indices j_0 and $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$; the problem reduces to showing that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^n \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} \langle e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} a_{j_0}, \beta_{k_0}(t) b_{k_0}(t) \rangle dt \leq C \int_0^\infty |b_{k_0}(t)| dt. \quad (2.40)$$

The reason for making an atomic decomposition is that atoms are in $L^1 \cap L^\infty$, instead of merely in $L^{6/5,1}$, enabling us to employ both L^1 to L^∞ decay and L^2 boundedness estimates in the study of their behavior. For each n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} \langle e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} a_{j_0}, \beta_{k_0}(t) b_{k_0}(t) \rangle dt &\leq C 2^{-3n/2} \|a_{j_0}\|_1 \sup_t \|b_{k_0}(t)\|_1 \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\beta_{k_0}(t)| dt \\ &= C 2^{-3n/2} 2^{j_0/6} 2^{k_0/6} \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\beta_{k_0}(t)| dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

as a consequence of the $L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty$ decay estimate. At the same time, by the L^2 boundedness of the evolution,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} \langle e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} a_{j_0}, \beta_{k_0}(t) b_{k_0}(t) \rangle dt &\leq C \|a_{j_0}\|_2 \sup_t \|b_{k_0}(t)\|_2 \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\beta_{k_0}(t)| dt \\ &= C 2^{-j_0/3} 2^{-k_0/3} \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\beta_{k_0}(t)| dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

Using the first estimate (2.41) for large n , namely $n \geq j_0/3 + k_0/3$, and the second estimate (2.42) for small n , $n < j_0/3 + k_0/3$, we always obtain that

$$\int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} \langle e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} a_{j_0}, \beta_{k_0}(t) b_{k_0}(t) \rangle dt \leq C 2^{-n} \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\beta_{k_0}(t)| dt. \quad (2.43)$$

Multiplying by 2^n and summing over $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we retrieve (2.40), which in turn proves (2.30). $\square$

The resolvent of the unperturbed Hamiltonian, $R_0(\lambda) = (\mathcal{H}_0 - \lambda)^{-1}$, is given by (1.4) in the scalar case (1.2) and (1.5) in the matrix case (1.3). In either case, $R_0(\lambda) = (\mathcal{H}_0 - \lambda)^{-1}$ is an analytic function, on $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ or respectively on $\mathbb{C} \setminus ((-\infty, -\mu] \cup [\mu, \infty))$. It can be extended to a continuous function in the closed lower half-plane or the closed upper half-plane, but not both at once, due to a jump discontinuity on the real line.

The resolvent is the Fourier transform of the time evolution. We formally state the known connection between $e^{it\mathcal{H}_0}$ and the resolvent $R_0 = (\mathcal{H}_0 - \lambda)^{-1}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{H}_0$ be given by (1.2) or (1.3). For any $f \in L^{6/5,1}$ and λ in the lower half-plane, the integral*

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\rho e^{-it\lambda} e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} f dt \quad (2.44)$$

converges in the $L^{6,\infty}$ norm and equals $iR_0(\lambda)f$ or $iR_0(\lambda - i0)f$ in case $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Furthermore, for real λ ,

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\rho}^{\rho} e^{-it\lambda} e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} f dt = i(R_0(\lambda - i0) - R_0(\lambda + i0))f, \quad (2.45)$$

also in the $L^{6,\infty}$ norm.

Proof. Note that (2.44) is dominated by (1.16),

$$\int_0^\infty \|e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} f\|_{L^{6,\infty}}, \quad (2.46)$$

and this ensures its absolute convergence. Next, both (2.44), as a consequence of the previous argument, and $iR_0(\lambda + i0)$ are bounded operators from $L^{6/5,1}$ to $L^{6,\infty}$. To show that they are equal, it suffices to address this issue over a dense set. Observe that

$$\int_0^\rho e^{-it(\lambda - i\epsilon)} e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} f dt = iR_0(\lambda - i\epsilon)(f - e^{-i\rho(\lambda - i\epsilon)} e^{i\rho\mathcal{H}_0} f). \quad (2.47)$$

Thus, if $f \in L^2 \cap L^{6/5,1}$, considering the fact that $e^{it\mathcal{H}_0}$ is unitary and $R_0(\lambda - i\epsilon)$ is bounded on L^2 ,

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\rho e^{-it(\lambda - i\epsilon)} e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} f dt = iR_0(\lambda - i\epsilon)f. \quad (2.48)$$

Letting ϵ go to zero, the left-hand side in (2.48) converges, by dominated convergence, to (2.44), while the right-hand side (also by dominated convergence, using the explicit form (1.4)-(1.5) of the operator kernels) converges to $iR_0(\lambda - i0)f$. Statement (2.45) follows directly. $\square$

2.3. The exceptional set and the resolvent. We explore further properties of the perturbed resolvent in the L^2 setting. Important in this context is the *Birman-Schwinger operator*,

$$\widehat{T}_{V_2, V_1}(\lambda) = iV_2 R_0(\lambda) V_1, \quad (2.49)$$

where $V = V_1 V_2$ and V_1, V_2 are as in (1.10) or (1.11).

The relation between the Birman-Schwinger operator and the perturbed resolvent $R_V = (\mathcal{H}_0 + V - \lambda)^{-1}$ is that

$$R_V(\lambda) = R_0(\lambda) - R_0(\lambda) V_1 (I + V_2 R_0(\lambda) V_1)^{-1} V_2 R_0(\lambda) \quad (2.50)$$

and

$$(I + V_2 R_0(\lambda) V_1)^{-1} = I - V_2 R_V(\lambda) V_1. \quad (2.51)$$

Definition 2.2. Given $V \in L_0^{3/2,\infty}$, its exceptional set $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of λ in the complex plane for which $I - i\widehat{T}_{V_2, V_1}(\lambda)$ is not invertible from L^2 to itself.

Other choices of V_1 and V_2 such that $V = V_1 V_2$, $V_1, V_2 \in L^{3,\infty}$ lead to the same operator up to conjugation.

Below we summarize a number of observations concerning the exceptional sets of operators in the form (1.2) or (1.3).

Proposition 2.5. *Assume $V \in L_0^{3/2,\infty}$ is a potential as in (1.2) or (1.3) and denote its exceptional set by $\mathcal{E}$.*

- $\mathcal{E}$ is bounded and discrete outside $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$, but can accumulate toward $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$. $\mathcal{E} \cap \sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$ has null measure (as a subset of $\mathbb{R}$). Elements of $\mathcal{E} \setminus \sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$ are eigenvalues of $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + V$.
- If V is real and matrix-valued as in (1.3), then embedded exceptional values must be eigenvalues, except for the endpoints of $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$, which need not be eigenvalues. If V is complex matrix-valued as in (1.3), there is no restriction on embedded exceptional values.
- If V is complex scalar as in (1.2) or complex matrix-valued as in (1.3), then $\mathcal{E}$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis. In case V is real-valued and as in (1.3), $\mathcal{E}$ is symmetric with respect to both the real axis and the origin.

Proof. Note that, for $V \in L^{3/2,\infty}$, $V_2 R_0(\lambda) V_1$ is L^2 -bounded for every value of λ .

The Rollnick class is the set of measurable potentials V whose Rollnick norm

$$\|V\|_{\mathcal{R}} = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^2} \frac{|V(x)||V(y)|}{|x-y|^2} dx dy \quad (2.52)$$

is finite. The Rollnick class $\mathcal{R}$ contains $L^{3/2}$. For a potential $V \in \mathcal{R}$, the operator $\hat{T}_{V_2, V_1}$ described above is Hilbert-Schmidt for every value of λ in the lower half-plane up to the boundary. We obtain that $\hat{T}_{V_2, V_1}$ is compact whenever V is in $L_0^{3/2,\infty}$.

By the analytic and meromorphic Fredholm theorems (for statements see [?], p. 101, and [?], p. 107), the exceptional set $\mathcal{E}$ is closed, bounded, and consists of at most a discrete set outside $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$, which may accumulate toward $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$, and a set of measure zero contained in $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$.

Assuming that $V \in L_0^{3/2,\infty}$ is real-valued and scalar, the exceptional set resides on the real line. Indeed, if λ is exceptional, then by the Fredholm alternative ([?], p. 203) the equation

$$f = -V_2 R_0(\lambda) V_1 f \quad (2.53)$$

must have a solution $f \in L^2$. Then $g = R_0(\lambda) V_1 f$ is in $|\nabla|^{-2} L^{6/5,2} \subset L^{6,2}$ and satisfies

$$g = -R_0(\lambda) V g. \quad (2.54)$$

If $\lambda \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$, the kernel's exponential decay implies that λ is an eigenvalue for $\mathcal{H}$ and that the corresponding eigenvectors must be at least in $\langle \nabla \rangle^{-2} L^{6/5,2}$.

Furthermore, by applying $\mathcal{H}_0 - \lambda$ to both sides we obtain

$$(\mathcal{H}_0 + V - \lambda)g = 0. \quad (2.55)$$

In the case of a real scalar potential V , $\mathcal{H}_0 + V$ is self-adjoint, so this is a contradiction for $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$. In general, exceptional values off the real line can indeed occur.

For real-valued $V \in L_0^{3/2,\infty}$ having the matrix form (1.3), any embedded exceptional values must be eigenvalues, following the argument of Lemma 4 of Erdogan–Schlag [ErSc].

Explicitly, consider $\lambda \in \mathcal{E} \cap \sigma(\mathcal{H}_0) \setminus \{\pm\mu\}$; without loss of generality $\lambda > \mu$. It corresponds to a nonzero solution $G \in L^{6,2}$ of

$$G = -R_0(\lambda - i0)VG. \quad (2.56)$$

We show that $G \in L^2$ and that it is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{H}$. Let

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} W_1 & W_2 \\ -W_2 & -W_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{H}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta - \mu & 0 \\ 0 & -\Delta + \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.57)$$

where W_1 and W_2 are real-valued. We expand (2.56) accordingly into

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= (-\Delta + \lambda + \mu)^{-1}(W_1 g_1 + W_2 g_2) \\ g_2 &= (-\Delta - (\lambda - \mu - i0))^{-1}(W_2 g_1 + W_1 g_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.58)$$

This implies that $g_1 \in L^1 \cap L^6$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g_2, W_2 g_1 + W_1 g_2 \rangle &= \langle (-\Delta - (\lambda - \mu - i0))^{-1}(W_2 g_1 + W_1 g_2), (W_2 g_1 + W_1 g_2) \rangle, \\ \langle g_1, W_2 g_2 \rangle &= \langle (-\Delta + \lambda + \mu)^{-1}(W_1 g_1 + W_2 g_2), W_2 g_2 \rangle, \\ \langle g_1, W_1 g_1 \rangle &= \langle (-\Delta + \lambda + \mu)^{-1}(W_1 g_1 + W_2 g_2), W_1 g_1 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.59)$$

However,

$$\langle g_2, W_2 g_1 + W_1 g_2 \rangle = \overline{\langle g_1, \overline{W_2 g_2} \rangle} + \langle g_2, W_1 g_2 \rangle. \quad (2.60)$$

Since W_2 is real-valued, it follows that

$$\langle (-\Delta - (\lambda - \mu - i0))^{-1}(W_2 g_1 + W_1 g_2), (W_2 g_1 + W_1 g_2) \rangle \quad (2.61)$$

is real-valued. Therefore the Fourier transform vanishes on a sphere:

$$(W_2 g_1 + W_1 g_2)^\wedge(\xi) = 0 \quad (2.62)$$

for $|\xi|^2 = \lambda - \mu$. We then apply Agmon's bootstrap argument, as follows. By Corollary 13 of [GoSc], if $f \in L^1$ has a Fourier transform that vanishes on the sphere, meaning $\hat{f}(\xi) = 0$ for every ξ such that $|\xi|^2 = \lambda \neq 0$, then

$$\|R_0(\lambda \pm i0)f\|_2 \leq C_\lambda \|f\|_1. \quad (2.63)$$

Interpolating between this and

$$\|R_0(\lambda \pm i0)f\|_4 \leq C_\lambda \|f\|_{4/3}, \quad (2.64)$$

which holds without conditions on $\hat{f}$, we obtain that for $1 < p < 4/3$ and for $\hat{f} = 0$ on the sphere of radius $\sqrt{\lambda} > 0$

$$\|R_0(\lambda \pm i0)f\|_{L^{2p/(2-p),2}} \leq C_\lambda \|f\|_{L^{p,2}}. \quad (2.65)$$

Thus, starting with the right-hand side of (2.58) in $L^{6/5,2}$, we obtain that $g_2 \in L^{3,2}$, a gain over $L^{6,2}$. Iterating twice, we obtain that $g_2 \in L^2$. Therefore g is an L^2 eigenvector.

Thus, for a real-valued $V \in L_0^{3/2, \infty}$ having the matrix form (1.3), the exceptional set consists only of eigenvalues, potentially together with the endpoints of the continuous spectrum $\pm\mu$.

For a complex potential of the form (1.3), neither of the previous arguments holds. Embedded exceptional values can occur and they need not be eigenvalues.

Next, we examine symmetries of the exceptional set $\mathcal{E}$. If V is real-valued and scalar, we have already characterized $\mathcal{E}$ as being situated on the real line. If V is scalar, but complex-valued, then consider an exceptional value λ , for which, due to compactness, there exists $f \in L^2$ such that

$$f = -|V|^{1/2} \operatorname{sgn} V R_0(\lambda) |V|^{1/2} f. \quad (2.66)$$

Then

$$(\operatorname{sgn} V \bar{f}) = -|V|^{1/2} R_0(\bar{\lambda}) |V|^{1/2} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{V} (\operatorname{sgn} V \bar{f}), \quad (2.67)$$

so the adjoint has an exceptional value at $\bar{\lambda}$. However, $\sigma(\hat{T}_{V_2, V_1}(\lambda)) = \sigma(\hat{T}_{V_1, V_2}(\lambda)^*)$, so all this proves that the exceptional set $\mathcal{E}$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis.

If V has the matrix form (1.3), then note that $\sigma_1 V \sigma_1 = -\bar{V}$, $\sigma_3 V \sigma_3 = V^*$, where σ_1 is the Pauli matrix

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 \sigma_3 = -\sigma_3 \sigma_1. \quad (2.68)$$

Let λ be an exceptional value, for which

$$f = -\sigma_3 (\sigma_3 V)^{1/2} R_0(\lambda) (\sigma_3 V)^{1/2} f. \quad (2.69)$$

Here $\sigma_3 V = \begin{pmatrix} W_1 & W_2 \\ \bar{W}_2 & W_1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a selfadjoint matrix.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f} &= -\sigma_3 (\sigma_3 \bar{V})^{1/2} R_0(\bar{\lambda}) (\sigma_3 \bar{V})^{1/2} \bar{f} \\ &= -\sigma_3 (\sigma_3 V)^{1/2} \sigma_3 R_0(\bar{\lambda}) \sigma_3 (\sigma_3 V)^{1/2} \sigma_3 \bar{f} \\ &= -\sigma_3 (\sigma_3 V)^{1/2} R_0(\bar{\lambda}) (\sigma_3 V)^{1/2} \sigma_3 \bar{f} \end{aligned} \quad (2.70)$$

since R_0 commutes with σ_3 , so whenever λ is an exceptional value so is $\bar{\lambda}$.

If V as in (1.3) is a real-valued matrix, then by the same methods we obtain that $-\lambda$ is an exceptional value whenever λ is an exceptional value. $\square$

2.4. The time evolution and projections. We begin with a basic lemma, which applies equally in the time-dependent case.

Lemma 2.6. *Assume $V \in L^\infty$ and the Hamiltonian is described by (1.2) or (1.3). Then the equation*

$$i\partial_t Z + \mathcal{H}Z = F, \quad Z(0) \text{ given}, \quad (2.71)$$

admits a weak solution Z for $Z(0) \in L^2$, $F \in L_t^\infty L_x^2$ and

$$\|Z(t)\|_2 \leq C e^{t\|V\|_\infty} \|Z(0)\|_2 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\|V\|_\infty} \|F(s)\|_2 ds. \quad (2.72)$$

Proof. We introduce an auxiliary variable and write

$$i\partial_t Z + \mathcal{H}_0 Z = F - V Z_1, \quad Z(0) \text{ given.} \quad (2.73)$$

Over a sufficiently small time interval $[T, T + \epsilon]$, whose size ϵ only depends on $\|V\|_\infty$, the map that associates Z to some given Z_1 is a contraction, in a sufficiently large ball in $L_t^\infty L_x^2$. The fixed point of this contraction mapping is then a solution to (2.71).

This shows that the equation is locally solvable and, by bootstrapping, since the length of the interval is independent of the size of F and of the initial data $Z(T)$, we obtain an exponentially growing global solution. The bound (2.72) follows by Gronwall's inequality. $\square$

For a nonselfadjoint operator such as $\mathcal{H}$ given by (1.3), the projections on various parts of the spectrum need not be selfadjoint operators. The following lemma characterizes such Riesz projections (it appeared in [Sch], under different assumptions concerning $\mathcal{H}$).

Lemma 2.7. *Assume $V \in L_0^{3/2, \infty}$. To each element ζ of the exceptional set of $\mathcal{H}$ outside of $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$ there corresponds a family of operators*

$$P_\zeta^k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-\zeta|=\epsilon} R_V(z)(z-\zeta)^k dz. \quad (2.74)$$

They have finite rank, $P_\zeta^k = 0$ for all $k \geq n$, for some n , $P_\zeta^0 = (P_\zeta^0)^2$, and more generally $(P_\zeta^k)(P_\zeta^\ell) = P_\zeta^{k+\ell}$.

Moreover, P_ζ^k are bounded from $L^\infty + L^{6/5, 2}$ to $L^1 \cap L^{6, 2}$.

Proof. If $R_V(\zeta) = (\mathcal{H}_0 + V - \zeta)^{-1}$ exists as a bounded operator from $L^{6/5, 2}$ to $L^{6, 2}$, then ζ is not in the exceptional set and vice-versa, as a consequence of (2.50) and (2.51).

We form the contour integral, following Schlag [Sch] and Reed–Simon [?],

$$P_\zeta^k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-\zeta|=\epsilon} R_V(z)(z-\zeta)^k dz. \quad (2.75)$$

This integral is independent of ϵ if ϵ is sufficiently small and $P_\zeta^k = 0$ for $k \geq n$. Using the Cauchy integral, it immediately follows that $(P_\zeta^k)(P_\zeta^\ell) = P_\zeta^{k+\ell}$. Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{H}P_\zeta^0 = P_\zeta^1 + \zeta P_\zeta^0. \quad (2.76)$$

It is a consequence of Fredholm's theorem that the range of P_ζ^0 is finite dimensional; from (2.74) it follows that $\text{Ran}(P_\zeta^0) \subset L^2 \cap L^{6, 2}$. Also, $\text{Ran}(P_\zeta^0)$

is the generalized eigenspace of $\mathcal{H} - \zeta$, meaning

$$\text{Ran}(P_\zeta^0) = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \text{Ker}((\mathcal{H} - \zeta)^k). \quad (2.77)$$

One inclusion follows from (2.76) and the fact that $P_\zeta^k = 0$ for $k \geq n$. The other inclusion is a consequence of the fact that, if $(\mathcal{H} - \zeta)f = 0$, then $R_V(z)f = (\zeta - z)^{-1}f$ and, using the definition (2.74), $P_\zeta^0 f = f$. For higher values of k we proceed by induction.

Furthermore, $\text{Ran}(P_\zeta^0)$ consists of functions in $\langle \nabla \rangle^{-2} L^{6/5,2}$. If f is a generalized eigenfunction, meaning $f \in L^2 \cap L^{6,2}$ and $(\mathcal{H} - \zeta)^n f = 0$, then

$$(\mathcal{H}_0 + V)f = \zeta f + g, \quad (2.78)$$

where g is also a generalized eigenfunction. Assuming by induction that $g \in \langle \nabla \rangle^{-2} L^{6/5,2}$ (or is zero, to begin with), the same follows for f . Furthermore, if $g \in L^1$ we can infer the same about f .

The range of $(P_\zeta^0)^*$ is the generalized eigenspace of $\mathcal{H}^* - \bar{\zeta}$, which means that it is also finite-dimensional and spanned by functions in $L^1 \cap \langle \nabla \rangle^{-2} L^{6/5,2}$.

Thus, each such projection is bounded from $L^{6/5,2} + L^\infty$ to $L^1 \cap L^{6,2}$. $\square$

Throughout this paper, we assume that there are no exceptional values embedded in $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$. By Fredholm's analytic theorem, this implies that there are finitely many exceptional values overall.

Then we can define P_c , the projection on the continuous spectrum, simply as the identity minus the sum of all projections corresponding to the exceptional values (which coincide, in this case, with the point spectrum):

$$P_c = I - P_p = I - \sum_{k=1}^n P_{\zeta_k}^0. \quad (2.79)$$

P_c commutes with $\mathcal{H}$ and with $e^{it\mathcal{H}}$, as a direct consequence of the definition and of Lemma 2.7.

In order to characterize P_c , we employ the subsequent lemma appeared in Schlag [Sch] under more stringent assumptions.

Lemma 2.8. *Consider $V \in L_0^{3/2,\infty}$ and assume that there are no exceptional values of $\mathcal{H}$ embedded in the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}_0$. Then for sufficiently large y*

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle (R_V(\lambda + iy) - R_V(\lambda - iy))f, g \rangle d\lambda \quad (2.80)$$

and the integral is absolutely convergent. Furthermore,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)} \langle (R_V(\lambda + i0) - R_V(\lambda - i0))f, g \rangle d\lambda + \sum_{k=1}^n \langle P_{\zeta_k}^0 f, g \rangle \quad (2.81)$$

where $P_{\zeta_k}^0$ are projections corresponding to the finitely many eigenvalues ζ_k .

Proof. Assume at first that $V \in L^\infty$ and take $y > \|V\|_\infty$. Then

$$I + V_2 R_0(\lambda \pm iy) V_1 \quad (2.82)$$

must be invertible. Indeed, V_1 and V_2 are bounded L^2 operators of norm at most $\|V\|_\infty^{1/2}$ and

$$\|R_0(\lambda \pm iy)\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{y}|x|}}{|x|} dx = 1/y. \quad (2.83)$$

Therefore one can construct the inverse $(I + V_2 R_0(\lambda \pm iy) V_1)^{-1}$ by means of a power series. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R_V(\lambda \pm iy) &= R_0(\lambda \pm iy) - R_0(\lambda \pm iy) V R_0(\lambda \pm iy) + \\ &\quad + R_0(\lambda \pm iy) V_1 (I + V_2 R_0(\lambda \pm iy) V_1)^{-1} V_2 R_0(\lambda \pm iy) \end{aligned} \quad (2.84)$$

is a bounded L^2 operator.

By Lemma 2.6 $\chi_{t \geq 0} \langle e^{it\mathcal{H}} e^{-yt} f, g \rangle$ is an exponentially decaying function of t and its Fourier transform is

$$\int_0^\infty \langle e^{-(y+i\lambda)t} e^{it\mathcal{H}} f, g \rangle dy = -i \langle R_V(\lambda - iy) f, g \rangle. \quad (2.85)$$

Combining this with the analogous result for the positive side, we see that

$$(\langle e^{it\mathcal{H}} e^{-y|t|} f, g \rangle)^\wedge = i \langle (R_V(\lambda + iy) - R_V(\lambda - iy)) f, g \rangle. \quad (2.86)$$

The right-hand side is absolutely integrable, because

$$R_V(\lambda) = R_0(\lambda) - R_0(\lambda) V R_0(\lambda) + R_0(\lambda) V_1 (I + V_2 R_0(\lambda) V_1)^{-1} V_2 R_0(\lambda) \quad (2.87)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^\infty |\langle (R_0(\lambda + iy) - R_0(\lambda - iy)) f, g \rangle| d\lambda \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{2i} (\langle (R_0(\lambda + iy) - R_0(\lambda - iy)) f, f \rangle + \langle (R_0(\lambda + iy) - R_0(\lambda - iy)) g, g \rangle) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\|f\|_2^2 + \|g\|_2^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.88)$$

The remaining terms are absolutely integrable due to smoothing estimates:

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \| |V|^{1/2} R_0(\lambda \pm iy) f \|_2^2 d\lambda \leq C \|f\|_2^2. \quad (2.89)$$

By the Fourier inversion formula, (2.86) implies

$$\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle (R_V(\lambda + iy) - R_V(\lambda - iy)) f, g \rangle d\lambda = \langle f, g \rangle. \quad (2.90)$$

We then shift the integration contour toward the essential spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$, leaving behind circular contours around the finitely many (by Fredholm's Theorem) eigenvalues. Each contour integral becomes a corresponding Riesz projection.

What is left is P_c , the projection on the continuous spectrum. The integral is still absolutely convergent due to (2.87), (2.88), and smoothing estimates. (2.81) follows.

In the beginning we assumed that $V \in L^\infty$. Now consider the general case $V \in L_0^{3/2,\infty}$ and a sequence of approximations by bounded potentials $V^n = V_1^n V_2^n \in L^\infty$, such that $\|V^n - V\|_{L^{3/2,\infty}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the exceptional set of V . On the set $\{\lambda \mid d(\lambda, \mathcal{E}) \geq \epsilon\}$, the norm

$$\|(I + V_2^n R_0(\lambda) V_1^n)^{-1}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \quad (2.91)$$

is uniformly bounded for large n . For some sufficiently high n , then, $\mathcal{E}(V_n) \subset \{\lambda \mid d(\lambda, \mathcal{E}) < \epsilon\}$. If

$$y_0 = \sup\{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{E}\}, \quad (2.92)$$

then for any $y > y_0$ and sufficiently large n

$$\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(\lambda) \langle (R_{V^n}(\lambda + iy) - R_{V^n}(\lambda - iy))f, g \rangle d\lambda = \langle f, g \rangle. \quad (2.93)$$

Both for V and for V^n the integrals (2.90) and (2.93) converge absolutely and as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (2.93) converges to (2.90). To see this, subtract the corresponding versions of (2.87) from one another and evaluate.

This proves (2.81) for potentials $V \in L_0^{3/2,\infty}$, under the spectral assumption concerning the absence of embedded eigenvalues. $\square$

By Lemma 2.8, it follows that

$$P_c = \chi(\mathcal{H}) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)} (R_V(\lambda + i0) - R_V(\lambda - i0)) d\lambda. \quad (2.94)$$

P_c is bounded on L^2 , but, since each projection $P_{\zeta_k}^0$ is bounded from $L^\infty + L^{6/5,2}$ to $L^{6,2} \cap L^1$, the same holds for $P_p = I - P_c$.

Therefore P_c is bounded on $L^{6/5,q}$, $q \leq 2$, and on $L^{6,q}$, $q \geq 2$, as well as on intermediate spaces.

We end with the following technical lemma, which is especially useful in the proof of the time-dependent Strichartz estimates.

Lemma 2.9. *Consider $V \in L_0^{3/2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + V$ as in (1.2) or (1.3) such that $\mathcal{H}$ has no exceptional values embedded in $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$. Then there exists a decomposition*

$$V - P_p \mathcal{H} = F_1(V) F_2(V), \quad (2.95)$$

where $P_p = I - P_c$, such that $F_1(V), F_2(V)^* \in \mathcal{L}(L^2, L^{6/5,2})$, and the Fourier transform of $I - iT_{F_2(V), F_1(V)}$ is invertible in the lower half-plane up to the boundary, where

$$(T_{F_2(V), F_1(V)} F)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t F_2(V) e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} F_1(V) F(s) ds. \quad (2.96)$$

Furthermore, $F_1(V)$ and $F_2(V)^*$ can be approximated in the $\mathcal{L}(L^2, L^{6/5,2})$ norm by operators that are bounded from L^2 to $\langle x \rangle^{-N} L^2$, for any fixed N .

Finally, if $V \in L^{3/2,1}$ then $L^{6/5,2}$ can be replaced by $L^{6/5,1}$.

Proof. Consider a potential V such that $\mathcal{H}$ has no exceptional values embedded in $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$. Being a finite-rank operator, P_p has the form

$$P_p f = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f, f_k \rangle g_k \quad (2.97)$$

where f_k and g_k belong to $L^{6,2} \cap L^1$. It follows that A can be expressed as

$$A f = \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk} \langle f, f_k \rangle f_j. \quad (2.98)$$

Take the standard polar decomposition of P_p , with respect to L^2 :

$$P_p = U A, \quad (2.99)$$

where $A = (P_p^* P_p)^{1/2} \geq 0$ is a nonnegative L^2 operator of finite rank. A maps the span of f_k to itself and U is a partial L^2 isometry defined on the range of A . U maps the span of f_k to the span of g_k and can be extended by zero on the orthogonal complement:

$$U f = \sum_{j,k=1}^n u_{jk} \langle f, f_k \rangle g_j. \quad (2.100)$$

From these explicit forms we see that both U and A are bounded operators from $L^{6/5,2} + L^\infty$ to $L^{6,2} \cap L^1$.

Also let $V = V_1 V_2$, where $V_2 \geq 0$ is a nonnegative operator on L^2 , meaning $\langle f, V_2 f \rangle \geq 0$ for every $f \in \text{Dom}(V_2)$, and $V_1, V_2 \in L^{3,\infty}$.

Then, define the bounded L^2 operators $G_1 = V_2/(V_2 + A)$ and $G_2 = (\mathcal{H} P_p)/(V_2 + A)$, initially on $\text{Ran}(V_2 + A)$, by setting

$$G_1((V_2 + A)f) = V_2 f, \quad G_2((V_2 + A)f) = \mathcal{H} P_p f \quad (2.101)$$

and extending them by continuity to $\overline{\text{Ran}(V_2 + A)}$. On the orthogonal complement

$$\text{Ran}(V_2 + A)^\perp = \{f \mid P_p f = 0, V f = 0\} \quad (2.102)$$

we simply set $G_1 = G_2 = 0$. We then make the construction

$$\begin{aligned} V - P_p \mathcal{H} &= F_1(V) F_2(V), \\ F_2(V) &= V_2 + A, \\ F_1(V) &= V_1 G_1 - G_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.103)$$

$F_1(V)$ and $F_2(V)$ are bounded from L^2 to $L^{6/5,2}$ and from $L^{6,2}$ to L^2 respectively.

We next prove that $F_1(V)$ and $F_2(V)$ can be approximated by operators in better classes, as claimed. Firstly, consider a family of smooth compactly

supported functions χ_n such that $0 \leq \chi_n \leq 1$ and $\chi_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} V_1^n &= \chi_n V_1, & V_2^n &= \chi_n V_2, \\ A^n &= \chi_n A \chi_n, \\ G_1^n &= V_2^n / (V_2^n + A^n), & G_2^n &= (\chi_n \mathcal{H} P_p \chi_n) / (V_2^n + A^n), \\ F_1^n &= V_1^n G_1^n - G_2^n, & F_2^n &= V_2^n + A^n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.104)$$

It is plain that F_1^n and $(F_2^n)^*$ take L^2 to $\langle x \rangle^{-N} L^2$. We also prove that they approximate $F_1(V)$ and $F_2(V)$ in $\mathcal{L}(L^2, L^{6/5,2})$. To begin with, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_j^n - V_j\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2, L^{6/5,2})} &\rightarrow 0, \\ \|A^n - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2, L^{6/5,2})} &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.105)$$

The second statement is due to the fact that, for finite rank operators, weak and norm convergence are equivalent. Note that $I - G_1^n$ is also a finite rank operator, so the weak convergence of $I - G_1^n$ to $I - G_1$ implies norm convergence also, in the $\mathcal{L}(L^2, L^2)$ norm. This reasoning also proves that $G_2^n \rightarrow G_2$ in $\mathcal{L}(L^2, L^{6/5,2})$.

Finally, we show that the Fourier transform $I - i\widehat{T}_{F_2(V), F_1(V)}(\lambda)$ is always invertible. Indeed, one has that

$$(I + F_2(V)R_0F_1(V))^{-1} = I - F_2(V)R_V P_c F_1(V). \quad (2.106)$$

Conversely,

$$\begin{aligned} R_V P_c &= R_0 - R_0 F_1(V)(I + F_2(V)R_0F_1(V))^{-1} F_2(V)R_0 \\ R_V &= R_0 - R_0 V_1(I + V_2 R_0 V_1)^{-1} V_2 R_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.107)$$

Thus, $R_V(\lambda)$ is bounded from $L^{6/5,2}$ to $L^{6,2}$ if and only if λ is not an exceptional value. Our assumption regarding the absence of embedded exceptional values implies that $R_V(\lambda)$ is uniformly bounded for $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$. Furthermore, R_V is uniformly bounded away from the finitely many exceptional values.

Using the representation formula (2.94) for P_c , for $f, g \in L^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R_V(\lambda_0) P_c f, g \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)} \langle R_V(\lambda_0) (R_V(\lambda - i0) - R_V(\lambda + i0)) f, g \rangle d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)} \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0} (R_V(\lambda - i0) - R_V(\lambda + i0)) f, g \right\rangle d\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (2.108)$$

and the integral converges absolutely. Here we used the resolvent identity: for all λ_1, λ_2 in the resolvent set,

$$R_V(\lambda_1) - R_V(\lambda_2) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) R_V(\lambda_1) R_V(\lambda_2).$$

For some fixed $\lambda_1 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$, $R_V(\lambda_1)$ is bounded from $L^{6/5,2}$ to $L^{6,2}$. Then, for any other value $\lambda_2 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle R_V(\lambda_1)P_c f, g \rangle - \langle R_V(\lambda_2)P_c f, g \rangle = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)} \left\langle \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{(\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)} (R_V(\lambda - i0) - R_V(\lambda + i0))f, g \right\rangle d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (2.109)$$

Since the integrand decays like λ^{-2} , it follows that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \|R_V(\lambda)P_c\|_{L^{6/5,2} \rightarrow L^{6,2}} < \infty. \quad (2.110)$$

Consequently, $I + F_2(V)R_0(\lambda)F_1(V)$ is always invertible by (2.106). $\square$

2.5. Time-independent estimates. In the sequel, by $e^{it\mathcal{H}}$ we designate the solution of the homogenous equation, which is well-defined at all times as a bounded L^2 operator, for $V \in L^\infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. To begin with, assume $V \in L^\infty$. Let $F, G \in L_t^\infty(L_x^1 \cap L_x^2)$ have compact support in t and consider the forward time evolution

$$(T_V F)(t) = \int_{t>s} e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}} P_c F(s) ds. \quad (2.111)$$

$T_V F(t)$ is in L_x^2 for all t and grows at most exponentially, so its Fourier transform is well-defined for $\text{Im } \lambda < -\|V\|_\infty$ (where, in particular, $\|R_V(\lambda)\|_{2 \rightarrow 2}$ is bounded):

$$\widehat{T_V F}(\lambda) = iR_V(\lambda)P_c \widehat{F}(\lambda). \quad (2.112)$$

For $y > \|V\|_\infty$, both $e^{-yt}(T_V F)(t)$ and $e^{yt}G(t)$ belong to $L_{t,x}^2$. Taking the Fourier transform in t , by Plancherel's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle (T_V F)(t), G(t) \rangle dt &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle (e^{-yt}(T_V F)(t))^\wedge, (e^{yt}G(t))^\wedge \rangle d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle R_V(\lambda - iy)P_c \widehat{F}(\lambda - iy), \widehat{G(-t)}(\lambda - iy) \rangle d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (2.113)$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the real dot product.

The pairing makes sense because $R_V P_c$ is analytic in the lower half-plane, as a family of bounded operators from $L^{6/5,2}$ to $L^{6,2}$. Furthermore, $\widehat{F}$ and $\widehat{G}$ are analytic due to the compactness of the supports and, for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\widehat{F}(\lambda + iy) \in L_\lambda^2 L_x^{6/5,2}$ and likewise for $\widehat{G}$.

We shift the integration line toward the real axis, obtaining

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle (T_V F)(t), G(t) \rangle dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle R_V(\lambda - i0)P_c \widehat{F}(\lambda), \widehat{G(-t)}(\lambda) \rangle d\lambda. \quad (2.114)$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle (T_V F)(t), G(t) \rangle dt &\leq C \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \|R_V(\lambda - i0)P_c\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{6/5,2}, L^{6,2})} \|\widehat{F}\|_{L_{\lambda}^2 L_x^{6/5,2}} \|\widehat{G}\|_{L_{\lambda}^2 L_x^{6/5,2}} \\ &\leq C \|F\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{6/5,2}} \|G\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{6/5,2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.115)$$

Then, we remove our previous assumption that F and G should have compact support. This establishes the Strichartz estimate

$$\left\| \int_{t>s} e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}} P_c F(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{6,2}} \leq C \|F\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{6/5,2}}. \quad (2.116)$$

Using Duhamel's formula we obtain all the other Strichartz estimates.

For $V \in L_0^{3/2,\infty}$, one can approximate it in the $L^{3/2,\infty}$ norm by L^∞ potentials whose exceptional sets are still empty (in the lower half-plane). If the conclusion stands for each of these approximations, uniformly, then we pass to the limit and it also holds for V itself.

Next, assume $V \in L^{3/2,1}$ and consider the following arrangement:

$$P_c \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + V - P_p \mathcal{H}. \quad (2.117)$$

Lemma 2.9 provides a multiplicative decomposition

$$V - P_p \mathcal{H} = F_1(V) F_2(V), \quad (2.118)$$

such that $F_1(V)$ and $F_2^*(V)$ are bounded operators from L^2 to $L^{6/5,1}$. Let

$$(T_{F_2(V), F_1(V)} F)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t F_2(V) e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} F_1(V) F(s) ds. \quad (2.119)$$

We need to establish that $T_{F_1(V), F_2(V)} \in K$, where K is the Wiener algebra of Definition 2.1. By Proposition 1.4 and Minkowski's inequality, we see that $T_{I,I}$ given by

$$T_{I,I} F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} F(s) ds \quad (2.120)$$

takes $L_t^1 L_x^{6,1}$ to $L_t^1 L_x^{6/5,\infty}$. Therefore $T_{F_2(V), F_1(V)} F(t)$ takes $L_t^1 L_x^2$ to itself and, for the Hilbert space L_x^2 , belongs to the algebra K of Theorem 2.2. Following Lemma 2.4, the Fourier transform of $T_{F_2(V), F_1(V)}$ is given by

$$\widehat{T}_{F_2(V), F_1(V)}(\lambda) = i F_2(V) R_0(\lambda) F_1(V). \quad (2.121)$$

By Lemma 2.9, the Fourier transform of $I - iT_{F_2(V), F_1(V)}$ is invertible in the lower half-plane.

Furthermore, considered as an operator from $L^{6/5-\epsilon}$ to its dual, $T_{F_2(V), F_1(V)}$ decays at infinity like $|t|^{-1-\epsilon}$ in norm. By approximating $F_1(V)$ and $F_2(V)$ in the operator norm, it follows that $T_{F_2(V), F_1(V)}$ belongs to the subalgebra $D \subset K$ of kernels that decay at infinity.

Likewise, take some V_1, V_2 decay sufficiently fast. Then for each t

$$\|(V_2 e^{-i(t+\epsilon)\Delta} V_1 - V_2 e^{-it\Delta} V_1) f\|_2 \leq C \epsilon^{1/4} \|e^{-it\Delta} V_1 f\|_{\dot{H}_{loc}^{1/2}} \quad (2.122)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-t}^t \|(V_2 e^{i(t+\epsilon)\mathcal{H}_0} V_1 - V_2 e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} V_1) f\|_2 dt \\
& \leq C \epsilon^{1/4} t^{1/2} \left(\int_{-t}^t \|e^{-it\Delta} V_1 f\|_{\dot{H}_{loc}^{1/2}}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq C \epsilon^{1/4} t^{1/2} \|f\|_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.123}$$

Since T_{V_2, V_1} decays at infinity, (2.123) implies that T_{V_2, V_1} is equicontinuous. Again, by approximating we find that the same holds for $F_1(V)$ and $F_2(V)$.

Therefore $I - iT_{F_2(V), F_1(V)}$ satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, with respect to the Hilbert space L^2 and the algebra K of Definition 2.1. Given that $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$, one can invert and $(I - iT_{F_2(V), F_1(V)})^{-1}$ is an upper triangular operator in K by Lemma 2.3.

Let $T_{I, F_1(V)}$ and $T_{F_2(V), I}$ be respectively given by

$$(T_{I, F_1(V)} F)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} F_1(V) F(s) ds \tag{2.124}$$

and

$$(T_{F_2(V), I} F)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t F_2(V) e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} F(s) ds. \tag{2.125}$$

By Duhamel's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_c Z(t) &= e^{it\mathcal{H}} P_c Z(0) - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}} P_c F(s) ds \\
&= e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} Z(0) - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} F(s) ds + i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} (V - P_p \mathcal{H}) Z(s) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.126}$$

In addition, given the decomposition $V - P_p \mathcal{H} = F_1(V) F_2(V)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2(V) Z(t) &= F_2(V) \left(e^{it\mathcal{H}_0} Z(0) - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} F(s) ds \right) \\
&\quad + i \int_0^t (F_2(V) e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} F_1(V)) F_2(V) Z(s) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.127}$$

Then

$$(I - iT_{F_2(V), F_1(V)}) F_2(V) Z = T_{F_2(V), 1} (-i\tilde{F} + \delta_{t=0} \tilde{Z}(0)). \tag{2.128}$$

Now write the evolution as

$$Z(t) = T_{I, I} (-iF + \delta_{t=0} Z(0)) + T_{I, V_1} (I - iT_{V_2, V_1})^{-1} T_{V_2, I} (-iF + \delta_{t=0} Z(0)). \tag{2.129}$$

By Proposition 1.4, $T_{V_2, 1}$ takes right-hand side terms in $L_t^1 L_x^{6/5, 1}$ and $L^{6/5, 1}$ initial data, $F + \delta_{t=0} Z(0)$, to $L_t^1 L_x^2$. The convolution kernel $(I - iT_{V_2, V_1})^{-1}$ then takes $L_t^1 L_x^2$ into itself again, while the last operator T_{1, V_1} takes $L_t^1 L_x^{6/5, 1}$ into $L_t^1 L_x^{6, \infty}$.

□

Remark 2.10. *An easy proof can be given, if $V \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$ has an empty exceptional set, to $\langle t \rangle^{-3/2}$ decay estimates from $L^1 \cap L^2$ to $L^2 + L^\infty$. We can conduct the proof in the Beurling algebra*

$$Be_{\infty, -3/2s} = \{A \mid \|A(t)\|_{Be} = \sup_t (\langle t \rangle^{3/2} \|A(t)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}) < \infty\}. \quad (2.130)$$

The proof follows that of Theorem 1.2, but is more straightforward.

2.6. The time-dependent case. We turn to time-dependent equations. Many of the previous observations, concerning the Fourier transform and Wiener's Theorem, apply here as well. However, the Fourier transform of a kernel $T(t, s)$ which is not invariant under time translation is no longer a multiplier $\widehat{T}(\lambda) : H \rightarrow H$ for each λ ; it is a family of non-local operators instead. Such a generalization was studied by Howland [How].

We shall not follow this direction in the current work. Instead, we only look at small perturbations of time-independent operators.

Consider the family of isometries given by (1.19)

$$U(t) = e^{\int_0^t (v(s)\nabla + iA(s)\sigma_3) ds}.$$

In the subsequent lemma we encapsulate the properties of U that we actually use in our study of (1.21) and (1.22).

Lemma 2.11. *Let $U(t)$ be defined by (1.19). Then U possesses properties P1–P4 listed in Theorem 1.5.*

Proof. It is easy to verify the first two properties directly. In particular, the Laplace operator Δ commutes with translations.

Concerning the third property, we compare

$$T(t, s) = e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} \quad (2.131)$$

and

$$\tilde{T}(t, s) = e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} e^{\int_s^t (2v(\tau)\nabla + iA(\tau)\sigma_3) d\tau}. \quad (2.132)$$

On one hand, from dispersive estimates,

$$\|T(t, s) - \tilde{T}(t, s)\|_{1 \rightarrow \infty} \leq C|t - s|^{-3/2}. \quad (2.133)$$

On the other hand,

$$\|T(t, s) - \tilde{T}(t, s)\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq C. \quad (2.134)$$

It follows that for $N > 1$

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-N} (T - \tilde{T}) \langle x \rangle^{-N}\|_{\tilde{K}_{1su}} < C. \quad (2.135)$$

This holds with a constant independent of A and v .

Finally, we prove the last stated property. Assume A and v are small. We first consider the case when there is no translation movement due to v and we only have to handle oscillation, due to A . Denote this kernel by $\tilde{T}_{osc}$, that is

$$\tilde{T}_{osc}(t, s) = e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} e^{\int_s^t (iA(\tau)\sigma_3) d\tau}. \quad (2.136)$$

One has

$$e^{ia} - 1 \leq C \min(1, a) \quad (2.137)$$

and thus

$$\|T(t, s) - \tilde{T}_{osc}(t, s)\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq C \min(1, \|A\|_\infty |t - s|) \leq C \|A\|_\infty^\epsilon |t - s|^\epsilon. \quad (2.138)$$

Therefore, for large enough N , it follows from (2.133) and from (2.138) that

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-N} (T - \tilde{T}_{osc}) \langle x \rangle^{-N}\|_{\tilde{K}} \leq C \|A\|_\infty^{\epsilon/3}. \quad (2.139)$$

Next, we consider the case when v is not necessarily zero. Let $d(t) = \int_0^t v(\tau) d\tau$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i(t-s)\Delta} e^{(\int_s^t 2v(\tau) d\tau) \nabla} &= \\ &= \frac{1}{(-4\pi i)^{3/2}} (t-s)^{-3/2} e^{i \left(\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(t-s)} - \frac{(x-y)(d(t)-d(s))}{t-s} + \frac{(d(t)-d(s))^2}{t-s} \right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.140)$$

We treat the last factor $e^{i \frac{(d(t)-d(s))^2}{t-s}}$ in the same manner in which we treated the factors containing A . Consider the kernel that contains those factors together with $e^{i \frac{(d(t)-d(s))^2}{t-s}}$, leaving aside $e^{\frac{(x-y)(d(t)-d(s))}{t-s}}$:

$$\tilde{T}_1(t, s) = e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}_0} e^{\int_s^t i(A(\tau)\sigma_3) d\tau} e^{i \frac{(d(t)-d(s))^2}{t-s}}. \quad (2.141)$$

For this kernel as well, one has

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-N} (T - \tilde{T}_1) \langle x \rangle^{-N}\|_{\tilde{K}} \leq C (\|A\|_\infty^{\epsilon/3} + \|v\|_\infty^{\epsilon/3}). \quad (2.142)$$

Considering the fact that

$$\left| e^{i \frac{(x-y)(d(t)-d(s))}{t-s}} - 1 \right| \leq C \min(1, \|v\|_\infty (|x| + |y|)) \leq C \|v\|_\infty^\epsilon (|x| + |y|)^\epsilon, \quad (2.143)$$

it follows that for large enough N

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-N} (\tilde{T}(t, s) - \tilde{T}_1(t, s)) \langle x \rangle^{-N}\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq C \|v\|_\infty^\epsilon |t - s|^{-3/2}. \quad (2.144)$$

We also have the trivial bound

$$\|\tilde{T}(t, s) - \tilde{T}_1(t, s)\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq C. \quad (2.145)$$

Therefore

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-N} (\tilde{T} - \tilde{T}_1) \langle x \rangle^{-N}\|_{\tilde{K}_{1su}} \leq C \|v\|_\infty^{\epsilon/3}. \quad (2.146)$$

Overall, we find that

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-N} (\tilde{T} - T) \langle x \rangle^{-N}\|_{\tilde{K}_{1su}} \leq C (\|A\|_\infty^{\epsilon/3} + \|v\|_\infty^{\epsilon/3}). \quad (2.147)$$

The last property can be rewritten in a number of equivalent ways, including

$$\|[U(t)^{-1} \partial_t U(t), P_c]\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{6,2}, L^{6/5,2})} \leq C (\|A\|_\infty + \|v\|_\infty). \quad (2.148)$$

For U given by (1.19), this commutator involves the terms

$$iv(t)[P_c, \nabla] \tilde{Z}, \quad A(t)[P_c, \sigma_3] \tilde{Z}. \quad (2.149)$$

Then, (2.148) is a consequence of the fact that $P_p = I - P_c$ has finite rank, as does P_p^* , and their ranges are spanned by functions in $\langle \nabla \rangle^{-2} L^{6/5,2}$. $\square$

We proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. To begin with, assume that $V \in L^\infty$. This endows the time-independent evolution with a meaning, as an exponentially growing function in L^2 . In the end, one can discard this assumption following an approximation argument.

Write the equation in the form (1.23) and let

$$\tilde{Z} = P_c Z, \quad \tilde{F} = P_c F - i[P_c, U(t)^{-1} \partial_t U(t)] \tilde{Z}. \quad (2.150)$$

The equation becomes

$$i \partial_t \tilde{Z} - i U(t)^{-1} \partial_t U(t) \tilde{Z} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{Z} = \tilde{F}, \quad \tilde{Z}(0) = P_c R(0) \text{ given.} \quad (2.151)$$

The commutation term $[P_c, U(t)^{-1} \partial_t U(t)] \tilde{Z}$ is small in the dual Strichartz norm (for small $\|v\|_\infty$ and $\|A\|_\infty$) and thus can be controlled by Strichartz inequalities and a fixed point argument. The same applies regarding the proof of (1.27), where the commutation term is controlled by a fixed point argument in $L_t^1 L_x^{6,1}$.

Lemma 2.9 provides a decomposition

$$V - P_p \tilde{\mathcal{H}} = F_1(V) F_2(V), \quad (2.152)$$

where $F_1(V)$ and $F_2^*(V)$ are in $\mathcal{L}(L^2, L^{6/5,2})$ and can be approximated in this space by operators F_1^n and F_2^n , such that F_1^n and $(F_2^n)^*$ are bounded from L^2 to $\langle x \rangle^{-N} L^2$.

Denote

$$\tilde{T}_{F_2(V), F_1(V)} F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t F_2(V) P_c e^{i(t-s)\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0} U(t) U(s)^{-1} F_1(V) F(s) ds, \quad (2.153)$$

respectively

$$\tilde{T}_{F_2(V), 1} F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t F_2(V) P_c e^{i(t-s)\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0} U(t) U(s)^{-1} F(s) ds. \quad (2.154)$$

By Duhamel's formula,

$$F_2(V) \tilde{Z}(t) = i \tilde{T}_{F_2(V), F_1(V)} F_2(V) \tilde{Z}(t) + \tilde{T}_{F_2(V), 1} (-i U(s) \tilde{F}(s) + \delta_{s=0} \tilde{Z}(0)). \quad (2.155)$$

We compare the time-dependent kernel $\tilde{T}_{F_2(V), F_1(V)}$ with the time-independent one

$$T_{F_2(V), F_1(V)} F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t F_2(V) P_c e^{i(t-s)\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0} F_1(V) F(s) ds. \quad (2.156)$$

For each n , by Lemma 2.11 we obtain that

$$\lim_{\substack{\|A\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \\ \|v\|_\infty \rightarrow 0}} \|T_{F_2^n, F_1^n} - \tilde{T}_{F_2^n, F_1^n}\|_{\tilde{K}} = 0. \quad (2.157)$$

At the same time, since F_2^n and F_1^n are approximations of $F_2(V)$ and $F_1(V)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{F_2^n, F_1^n} - T_{F_2(V), F_1(V)}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_{t,x}^2, L_{t,x}^2)} &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{T}_{F_2^n, F_1^n} - \tilde{T}_{F_2(V), F_1(V)}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_{t,x}^2, L_{t,x}^2)} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.158)$$

When $V \in L^{3/2,1}$, one can replace $\mathcal{L}(L_{t,x}^2, L_{t,x}^2)$ by $\tilde{K}$ in (2.158).

Therefore, when $V \in L_0^{3/2,\infty}$

$$\lim_{\substack{\|A\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \\ \|v\|_\infty \rightarrow 0}} \|T_{F_2(V), F_1(V)} - \tilde{T}_{F_2(V), F_1(V)}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_{t,x}^2, L_{t,x}^2)} = 0 \quad (2.159)$$

and when $V \in L^{3/2,1}$

$$\lim_{\substack{\|A\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \\ \|v\|_\infty \rightarrow 0}} \|T_{F_2(V), F_1(V)} - \tilde{T}_{F_2(V), F_1(V)}\|_{\tilde{K}} = 0. \quad (2.160)$$

Due to the Strichartz estimates of Theorem 1.2, the operator $I - iT_{F_1(V), F_2(V)}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(L_{t,x}^2, L_{t,x}^2)$. Its inverse is given by

$$(I - iT_{F_1(V), F_2(V)})^{-1}F(t) = F(t) - i \int_{-\infty}^t F_2(V) P_c e^{i(t-s)\mathcal{H}} F_1(V) F(s) ds. \quad (2.161)$$

For the same reason, when $V \in L^{3/2,1}$, $I - iT_{F_1(V), F_2(V)}$ is also invertible in the $\tilde{K}$ algebra of Definition 1.1. By (2.159), it follows that when $\|A\|_\infty$ and $\|v\|_\infty$ are small enough $I - i\tilde{T}_{F_1(V), F_2(V)}$ is also invertible in $\mathcal{L}(L_{t,x}^2, L_{t,x}^2)$, respectively $\tilde{K}$. This immediately implies the desired estimates. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Michael Goldberg and Wilhelm Schlag for their useful comments.

APPENDIX A. ATOMIC DECOMPOSITION OF LORENTZ SPACES

Our computations take place in Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces of functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^{3+1}$. This corresponds to three spatial dimensions and one extra dimension that accounts for time.

We denote the Lebesgue norm of f by $\|f\|_p$. The Sobolev norms of integral order $W^{n,p}$ are then defined by

$$\|f\|_{W^{n,p}} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} \quad (A.1)$$

for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and

$$\|f\|_{W^{n,\infty}} = \sup_{|\alpha| \leq n} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\infty. \quad (A.2)$$

when $p = \infty$. In addition, we consider Sobolev spaces of fractional order, both homogenous and inhomogenous:

$$\|f\|_{W^{s,p}} = \|\langle \nabla \rangle^s f\|_p, \text{ respectively } \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}} = \|\nabla |f|\|_p. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Here $\langle \nabla \rangle^s$ and $|\nabla|^s$ denote Fourier multipliers — multiplication on the Fourier side by $\langle \xi \rangle^s = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2}$ and $|\xi|^s$ respectively.

When $p = 2$, the alternate notation $H^s = W^{s,2}$ or $\dot{H}^s = \dot{W}^{s,2}$ is customary.

In addition, we are naturally led to consider Lorentz spaces. Given a measurable function f on a measure space (X, μ) , consider its distribution function

$$m(\sigma, f) = \mu(\{x \mid |f(x)| > \sigma\}). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Definition A.1. *A measurable function f belongs to the Lorentz space $L^{p,q}$ if its decreasing rearrangement*

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\sigma \mid m(\sigma, f) \leq t\} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

fulfills

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} = \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{1/p} f^*(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} < \infty \quad (\text{A.6})$$

or, respectively,

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}} = \sup_{0 \leq t < \infty} t^{1/p} f^*(t) < \infty \quad (\text{A.7})$$

when $q = \infty$.

We list several important properties of Lorentz spaces.

- Lemma A.1.**
- i) $L^{p,p} = L^p$ and $L^{p,\infty}$ is weak- L^p .
 - ii) The dual of $L^{p,q}$ is $L^{p',q'}$, where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.
 - iii) If $q_1 \leq q_2$, then $L^{p,q_1} \subset L^{p,q_2}$.
 - iv) Except when $q = \infty$, the set of bounded compactly supported functions is dense in $L^{p,q}$.

For a more complete enumeration, see [BeLö].

We conclude by proving a lemma concerning the atomic decomposition of Lorentz spaces. In preparation for that, we give the following definition:

Definition A.2. *The function a is an L^p atom, $1 \leq p < \infty$, if a is measurable, bounded, its support has finite measure, and a is L^p normalized:*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_x |a(x)| < \infty, \quad \mu(\operatorname{supp} a) < \infty, \quad (\operatorname{ess\,sup}_x |a(x)|)^p \cdot \mu(\operatorname{supp} a) = 1. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Again, note that a is an atom if and only if $|a|$ is one.

Lemma A.2 (Atomic decomposition of $L^{p,q}$). *Consider a measure space (X, μ) . A function f belongs to $L^{p,q}(X)$, $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, if and only if it is a linear combination of L^p atoms*

$$f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k a_k, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where the atoms a_k have disjoint supports of lacunary sizes and $(\alpha_k)_k \in \ell^q(\mathbb{Z})$. Furthermore, $\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \sim \|\alpha_k\|_{\ell^q}$ and the sum (A.9) converges unconditionally in the $L^{p,q}$ norm.

In the limiting case $L^{p,\infty}$, a similar decomposition exists, but only converges when f is in $L_0^{p,\infty}$ — the closure within $L^{p,\infty}$ of the set of bounded functions of finite support.

Note that, for $1 < p < \infty$, $L^{p',1}$ is the dual of $L_0^{p,\infty}$ and $L^{p,\infty}$ is the dual of $L^{p',1}$, but the dual of $L^{p,\infty}$ can be quite complicated.

Proof. In one direction, assume that $f \in L^{p,q}$; without loss of generality, we may consider $|f|$ in its stead.

Let $f_k = f^*(2^k)$, for f^* as in (A.5). Since the distribution function is decreasing, by (A.6) one has that

$$\left(\sum_k (2^{(k+1)/p} f_k)^q \right)^{1/q} \geq \|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \geq \left(\sum_k (2^{k/p} f_{k+1})^q \right)^{1/q} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

or, equivalently,

$$2^{1/p} \left(\sum_k (2^{k/p} f_k)^q \right)^{1/q} \geq \|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \geq 2^{-1/p} \left(\sum_k (2^{k/p} f_k)^q \right)^{1/q}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Thus, $(\sum_k (2^{k/p} f_k)^q)^{1/q}$ is comparable to $\|f\|_{L^{p,q}}$.

Let $B_k = |f|^{-1}([f_k, \infty))$. By definition, $\mu(B_k) \leq 2^k$ and $|f(x)| \geq f_k$ on B_k . Observe that if $\mu(B_k) > \mu(B_{k-1})$, then $\mu(B_k) > 2^{k-1}$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$n(k) = \min\{n \mid \mu(B_n \setminus B_k) \geq 2^k\}, \quad m(k) = \max\{m \mid \mu(B_k \setminus B_m) \geq 2^{k-1}\}, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

and set $n(k) = +\infty$ or $m(k) = -\infty$ if the sets are empty. Observe that, for $k \leq \ell < n(k)$, $f_\ell = f_k$ and, for $k > \ell \geq m(k)$, $f_\ell = f_{k-1}$.

Define recursively the finite or infinite sequence $(k_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$k_0 = 1, \quad k_{\ell+1} = n(k_\ell) \text{ for } \ell \geq 0, \text{ and } k_{\ell-1} = m(k_\ell) \text{ for } \ell \leq 0. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Then $f_k = f_{k_\ell}$ whenever $k_\ell \leq k < k_{\ell+1}$. Since

$$2^{-1/p} 2^{k_{\ell+1}/p} \leq \sum_{k=k_\ell}^{k_{\ell+1}-1} 2^{k/p} \leq \frac{2^{-1/p}}{1 - 2^{-1/p}} 2^{k_{\ell+1}/p}, \quad (\text{A.14})$$

it follows that $(\sum_\ell (2^{k_{\ell+1}/p} f_{k_\ell})^q)^{1/q}$ is comparable to $\|f\|_{L^{p,q}}$.

Let $A_\ell = |f|^{-1}([f_{k_\ell}, f_{k_{\ell-1}}))$. Note that $\mu(A_\ell) \geq 2^{k_{\ell-1}}$ by the definition of the k_ℓ sequence and also that $\mu(A_\ell) = \mu(B_\ell \setminus B_{\ell-1}) > 2^{k_{\ell-1}} - 2^{k_{\ell-2}}$. We infer that $\mu(A_\ell) > 2^{k_{\ell-2}}$. On the other hand, $\mu(A_\ell) \leq \mu(B_\ell) \leq 2^{k_\ell}$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\ell &= \mu(A_\ell)^{1/p} \text{ess sup}\{|f(x)| \mid x \in A_\ell\}, \\ a_\ell &= (\chi_{A_\ell} f) / \alpha_\ell. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Note that a_ℓ is an atom for each ℓ and

$$2^{k_\ell/p} f_{k_{\ell-1}} > \alpha_\ell > 2^{(k_\ell-2)/p} f_{k_\ell}. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

In particular,

$$\sum_\ell \alpha_\ell^q < \sum_\ell 2^{k_\ell/p} f_{k_{\ell-1}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p,q}}, \quad (\text{A.17})$$

so $\|\alpha_k\|_{\ell^q} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p,q}}$.

In order to establish the converse, consider

$$f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k a_k, \quad (\text{A.18})$$

where a_k are L^p atoms of disjoint supports of size 2^k and only finitely many of the coefficients α_k are nonzero. One needs to show that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq C \|\alpha_k\|_{\ell^q}, \quad (\text{A.19})$$

with a constant that does not depend on the number of terms.

Observe that each atom a_k has $\text{ess sup } |a_k(x)| = 2^{-k/p}$. Since the measures of supports of atoms a_k , for $k < k_0$, add up to at most 2^{k_0} , it follows by the definition of the distribution function that

$$f^*(2^{k_0}) \leq \text{ess sup}_{k \geq k_0, x \in X} |\alpha_k a_k(x)| = \sup_{k \geq k_0} 2^{-k/p} |\alpha_k|. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

Then, the integral that appears in the definition (A.6) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (t^{1/p} f^*(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} &\leq \sum_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{k_0/p} (\sup_{k \geq k_0} 2^{-k/p} |\alpha_k|))^q \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k_0 \leq k} 2^{k_0 q/p} \right) 2^{-k q/p} |\alpha_k|^q \\ &= \frac{2^{q/p}}{2^{q/p} - 1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\alpha_k|^q. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

It follows that $\|f\|_{L^{p,q}}$ is indeed finite and fulfills (A.19). The unconditional convergence of $\sum_k |\alpha_k|^q$ implies the unconditional convergence of $\sum \alpha_k a_k$.

This easily generalizes to the case when the atom sizes are only in the order of, not precisely equal to, 2^k , as well as to the case when there are at most some fixed number of atoms of each dyadic size instead of just one. $\square$

APPENDIX B. REAL INTERPOLATION

Our presentation of interpolation faithfully follows Bergh and Löfström, [BeLö], and is included only for the sake of completeness. The reader is advised to consult this reference work for a much more detailed exposition.

For any couple of Banach spaces (A_0, A_1) , contained within a wider space X , their intersection, $A_0 \cap A_1$, and their sum

$$A_0 + A_1 = \{x \in X \mid x = a_0 + a_1, a_0 \in A_0, a_1 \in A_1\} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

give rise to two potentially new Banach spaces.

Given a couple of Banach spaces (A_0, A_1) as above, define the so-called K functional on $A_0 + A_1$ by

$$K(t, a) = \inf_{a=a_0+a_1} (\|a_0\|_{A_0} + t\|a_1\|_{A_1}). \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Definition B.1. For $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, the interpolation space $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q} = A_{\theta, q}$ is the set of elements $f \in A_0 + A_1$ whose norm

$$\|f\|_{A_{\theta, q}} = \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{-\theta} K(t, f))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

is finite.

$(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q}$ is an exact interpolation space of exponent θ between A_0 and A_1 , meaning that it satisfies the following defining property:

Theorem B.1. Let T be a bounded linear mapping between two pairs of Banach spaces (A_0, A_1) and (B_0, B_1) , i.e.

$$\|Tf\|_{B_j} \leq M_j \|f\|_{A_j}, \quad j = 0, 1. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Then

$$\|Tf\|_{(B_0, B_1)_{\theta, q}} \leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta \|f\|_{(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q}}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

For two couples of Banach spaces, $(A_0^{(1)}, A_1^{(1)})$ and $(A_0^{(2)}, A_1^{(2)})$,

$$(A_0^{(1)} \times A_0^{(2)}, A_1^{(1)} \times A_1^{(2)})_{\theta, q} = (A_0^{(1)}, A_1^{(1)})_{\theta, q} \times (A_0^{(2)}, A_1^{(2)})_{\theta, q}. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

The following multilinear interpolation theorem is due to Lions–Peetre:

Theorem B.2. Assume that T is a bilinear mapping from $(A_0^{(j)} \times A_1^{(j)})$ to B^j for $j = 0, 1$ and

$$\|T(a^{(1)}, a^{(2)})\|_{B_j} \leq M_j \|a^{(1)}\|_{A_j^{(1)}} \|a^{(2)}\|_{A_j^{(2)}}. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Then

$$\|T(a^{(1)}, a^{(2)})\|_{(B_0, B_1)_{\theta, q}} \leq C \|a^{(1)}\|_{(A_0^{(1)}, A_1^{(1)})_{\theta, q_1}} \|a^{(2)}\|_{(A_0^{(2)}, A_1^{(2)})_{\theta, q_2}}, \quad (\text{B.8})$$

if $0 < \theta < 1$, $1/q - 1 = (1/q_1 - 1) + (1/q_2 - 1)$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.

Finally, below we list the results of real interpolation in some standard situations:

Proposition B.3. Let L^p be the Lebesgue spaces defined over a measure space (X, μ) and $L^{p, q}$ be Lorentz spaces over the same. Then

1. $(L^{p_0, q_0}, L^{p_1, q_1})_{\theta, q} = L^{p, q}$, for $p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \in (0, \infty]$, $p_0 \neq p_1$, $1/p = (1 - \theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$, $0 < \theta < 1$.

2. For a Banach space A , define the weighted spaces of sequences (homogenous and inhomogenous, respectively)

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\ell}_s^q(A) &= \left\{ (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid \|(a_n)\|_{\dot{\ell}_s^q(A)} = \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (2^{ns} \|a_n\|_A)^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\} \\ \ell_s^q(A) &= \left\{ (a_n)_{n \geq 0} \mid \|(a_n)\|_{\ell_s^q(A)} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2^{ns} \|a_n\|_A)^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\}\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.9})$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\dot{\ell}_{s_0}^{q_0}(A_0), \dot{\ell}_{s_1}^{q_1}(A_1))_{\theta, q} &= \dot{\ell}_s^q((A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q}), \\ (\ell_{s_0}^{q_0}(A_0), \ell_{s_1}^{q_1}(A_1))_{\theta, q} &= \ell_s^q((A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q}),\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.10})$$

where $0 < q_0, q_1 < \infty$, $s = (1 - \theta)s_0 + \theta s_1$, $1/q = (1 - \theta)/q_0 + \theta/q_1$, $0 < \theta < 1$.

3. $(L^{p_0}(A_0), L^{p_1}(A_1))_{\theta, p} = L^p((A_0, A_1)_{\theta, p})$, for $1/p = (1 - \theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$.

Again, the reader is referred to [BeLö] for the proofs and for more details.

REFERENCES

- [Agm] S. Agmon, *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 2 (1975), No. 2, pp. 151–218.
- [Bec] M. Beceanu, *A centre-stable manifold for the focusing cubic NLS in $\mathbb{R}^{1+3}$* , Comm. Math. Phys. 280 (2008), no. 1, pp. 145–205.
- [BeLö] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [BPST] N. Burq, F. Planchon, J. S. Stalker, A. S. Tahvildar-Zadeh, *Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with potentials of critical decay*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 53 (2004), No. 6, pp. 1665–1680.
- [CuMi] S. Cuccagna, T. Mizumachi, *On asymptotic stability in energy space of ground states for Nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, preprint 2007.
- [ErSc] B. Erdoğan, W. Schlag, *Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in the presence of a resonance and/or an eigenvalue at zero energy in dimension three: II*, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique, Vol. 99, No. 1 (2006), pp. 199–248.
- [Gol] M. Goldberg, *Strichartz estimates for the Schrödinger equation with time-periodic $L^{n/2}$ potentials*, J. Funct. Anal., to appear.
- [GoSc] M. Goldberg, W. Schlag, *A Limiting Absorption Principle for the Three-Dimensional Schrödinger Equation with L^p Potentials*, Intl. Math. Res. Not. 2004:75 (2004), pp. 4049–4071.
- [How] J. Howland, *Stationary scattering theory for time-dependent Hamiltonians*, Mathematische Annalen, Volume 207, Number 4 / December, 1974, pp. 315–335.
- [IoJe] A. D. Ionescu, D. Jerison, *On the absence of positive eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators with rough potentials*, Geometric and Functional Analysis 13, pp. 1029–1081 (2003).
- [KeTa] M. Keel, T. Tao, *Endpoint Strichartz estimates*, Amer. Math. J. 120 (1998), pp. 955–980.
- [RoSc] I. Rodnianski, W. Schlag, *Time decay for solutions of Schrödinger equations with rough and time-dependent potentials*, Invent. Math. 155 (2004), no. 3, pp. 451–513.
- [Sch] W. Schlag, *Stable Manifolds for an orbitally unstable NLS*, Annals of Mathematics, Vol. 169 (2009), No. 1, pp. 139–227.

- [Sch2] W. Schlag, *Spectral theory and nonlinear partial differential equations: a survey*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 15 (2006), No. 3, pp. 703–723.
- [Ste] E. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1994.
- [Tay] M. E. Taylor, *Tools for PDE. Pseudodifferential operators, paradifferential operators, and layer potentials*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 81, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [Yaj] K. Yajima, *Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger equations with threshold resonance and eigenvalue*, Comm. Math. Physics, Vol. 259 (2005), No. 2, pp. 479–509.